


## Sorbonne Université

École doctorale 129: Sciences de l'Environnement

*Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat: Expérimentations et Approches Numériques*

### Dynamique des vents côtiers dans le système d'upwelling du Pérou dans des conditions de réchauffement: impacts d'El Niño et du changement climatique régional

*Coastal winds dynamics in the Peruvian upwelling system under warming conditions: impact of El Niño and regional climate change*



par (by)

Adolfo CHAMORRO

Thèse de doctorat de Sciences du climat, de l'atmosphère et des océans

Dirigée par (Directed by): Vincent ECHEVIN et François COLAS

Présentée et soutenue publiquement le 06 décembre 2018

devant le jury composé de :

Francis CODRON	Professeur (Sorbonne Université)	Président
Pierrick PENVEN	Directeur de recherche (IRD/LOPS)	Rapporteur
Claude ESTOURNEL	Directeur de recherche (AERO/OBS-MIP)	Rapporteuse
José RUTLLANT	Professeur (Universidad de Chile)	Examineur
Sébastien MASSON	Physicien adjoint (Sorbonne Université)	Invité



This thesis work is dedicated to my wife, Evelyn, who has been a constant source of support and encouragement during academic challenges and of the life. This work is also dedicated to my parents, Vicente and Francisca, and to my sisters (Yeni, Tatiana, Enma, Selma) and brother (Jonatan), who have always support me unconditionally and whose good examples have taught me to work hard for the things that I aspire to achieve.






# Acknowledgements

This research was funded by a PhD fellowship from CIENCIACTIVA/CONCYTEC-PERU to study at the University Pierre and Marie Curie (UPMC) of Paris, France. It is also a contribution to the cooperative agreement between the Instituto del Mar del Perú (IMARPE) and the Institut de Recherche pour le Developpement (IRD)

I would like to specially acknowledge to my advisors, Drs. Vincent Echevin and François Colas from IRD, for their invaluable academic support and patience in the development of this thesis. Also, thanks to Drs. Jorge Tam and Dimitri Gutiérrez, my supervisors at IMARPE. I would also like to acknowledge to all my lab colleagues from LMOECC (Laboratorio de Modelado Oceanográfico, Ecosistémico y del Cambio Climático) at IMARPE and LOCEAN (Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat : Expérimentations et Approches Numériques) at UPMC who have greatly contributed towards my evolution and supported me during the development of this thesis.







---

**Sujet : Dynamique des vents côtiers dans le système d'upwelling du Pérou dans des conditions de réchauffement: impacts d'El Niño et du changement climatique régional**

---

**Résumé :** Le système d'upwelling péruvien est l'un des systèmes marins côtiers les plus productifs de l'océan mondial. Comme dans les autres systèmes d'upwelling, le vent de surface le long de la côte est le principal moteur de l'upwelling, amenant des eaux froides et riches en nutriments vers la couche de surface où se produit la production primaire. Une caractéristique unique du système d'upwelling du Pérou est sa proximité avec l'équateur: lors des événements El Niño dans le Pacifique équatorial, l'upwelling côtier est très réduit en raison de l'advection vers l'est des eaux chaudes équatoriales. Cette thèse vise à étudier la variabilité du vent côtier et ses processus lors du réchauffement de la couche de surface, à différentes échelles de temps: (1) des échelles de temps interannuelles, correspondant aux événements El Niño, et (2) des échelles de temps multi-décadaires résultant du changement climatique régional. Une série de domaines emboîtés d'un modèle atmosphérique régional est utilisée pour simuler le vent de surface. Le modèle reproduit bien la variabilité saisonnière et interannuelle des vents le long des côtes au cours de la période récente. Dans la première partie de la thèse, on étudie les processus responsables de l'augmentation, contre-intuitive, du vent observée au large du Pérou au cours de la période El Niño 1997-1998. Des expériences de sensibilité montrent que le réchauffement inhomogène des eaux de surface, plus important dans le nord, entraîne un gradient de pression accru le long de la côte, accélérant le vent. Dans une seconde partie de la thèse, l'évolution des vents côtiers est étudiée dans le scénario du «pire cas» du changement climatique RCP8.5. La circulation atmosphérique est simulée à l'aide d'une descente en échelle dynamique d'un ensemble multi-modèles (31 modèles CMIP5-GIEC) de la circulation atmosphérique moyenne. Forcés par le gradient de pression le long de la côte, les vents diminuent en été (de 0 à 5% environ), tandis qu'ils s'accroissent en hiver (de 5 à 10% environ), renforçant ainsi légèrement le cycle saisonnier. L'utilisation d'un domaine emboîté à haute résolution (7 km) de la région du Pérou permet de simuler une augmentation accrue localement des vents en hiver dans la zone de la baie de Paracas. Les changements de vent sont principalement associés aux changements d'intensité et de position de l'anticyclone du Pacifique Sud. Le rôle de facteurs locaux tels que les changements de température de surface entre la terre et la mer s'avère négligeable dans nos simulations.

**Mots clés :** Modélisation atmosphérique régionale, Downscaling dynamique, Vent côtier, El Niño, Changement climatique.



---

**Subject : *Coastal winds dynamics in the Peruvian upwelling system under warming conditions: impact of El Niño and regional climate change***

---

**Abstract:** The Peruvian upwelling system is one of the most productive coastal marine systems of the world ocean. As in other upwelling systems, alongshore surface wind is the main driver of the coastal upwelling, bringing cold and nutrient rich waters to the surface layer where primary production occurs. An unique feature of this upwelling system is its proximity to the Equator: during El Niño events in the equatorial Pacific, the coastal upwelling is strongly reduced due to the eastward advection of equatorial warm waters. This thesis aims to study the coastal wind variability and the processes responsible for it during the ocean surface layer warming conditions, at different time scales: (1) interannual time scales, corresponding to El Niño events and (2) multi decadal time scales resulting from regional climate change. A suite of regional atmospheric model embedded domains is used to simulate the surface winds. The model reproduces well the seasonal and interannual variability of alongshore winds in the recent period. In the first part of the thesis, the counter-intuitive wind increase observed off Peru during the 1997-1998 El Niño is studied. Sensitivity experiments show that the inhomogenous alongshore surface warming, larger in the north, drives an enhanced alongshore pressure gradient that accelerates the alongshore wind. In the second part of the thesis, the evolution of coastal wind changes is investigated under the “worst case” RCP8.5 climate change scenario. The atmospheric circulation is simulated using a dynamical downscaling of a multi-model (31 CMIP5-IPCC models) ensemble mean atmospheric circulation. Mainly driven by the alongshore pressure gradient, summer winds decrease (by 0–5 %) whereas winter winds increase (by 5–10 %), thus slightly reinforcing the seasonal cycle. The use of a high resolution nested grid (7 km) off Peru allows to simulate an enhanced local wind increase in winter in the Paracas bay area. The wind changes are mainly associated to changes in the intensity and position of the South Pacific Anticyclone. The role of local factors such as land-sea surface temperature changes is shown to be negligible in our simulations.

**Keywords :** Regional atmospheric modelling, Dynamical downscaling, Coastal wind, El Niño, Climate change.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The Peruvian upwelling system . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Regional climatic features . . . . .	2
1.1.2	Coastal dynamics . . . . .	3
	a) The marine atmospheric boundary layer . . . . .	3
	b) The oceanic boundary layer . . . . .	4
1.2	The El Niño - Southern Oscillation (ENSO) . . . . .	5
1.2.1	Large scale characteristics . . . . .	5
1.2.2	The impact of El Niño on the Peruvian upwelling system . . . . .	6
1.3	Global climate change . . . . .	9
1.3.1	Greenhouse effect . . . . .	9
1.3.2	Climate change scenarios . . . . .	9
	a) Idealized scenarios . . . . .	10
	b) Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) scenarios . . . . .	10
	c) Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) scenarios . . . . .	10
1.3.3	Global climate change projections . . . . .	13
1.3.4	Effects of climate change on the upwelling-favorable coastal winds . . . . .	14
	a) Regional modelling studies on the Peruvian upwelling system . . . . .	15
	b) Regional modelling studies on other upwelling systems . . . . .	18
1.4	Research questions . . . . .	18
<b>2</b>	<b>Data and methods</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1	Data . . . . .	21
2.1.1	Global atmospheric reanalysis data . . . . .	21
2.1.2	CMIP5 Models . . . . .	22
2.1.3	Observational data . . . . .	23
2.2	The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model . . . . .	23
2.2.1	Primitive equations . . . . .	24
2.2.2	Model discretization . . . . .	25
	a) Temporal discretization . . . . .	25
	b) Spatial discretization . . . . .	26
2.3	Model configuration . . . . .	26
2.3.1	Sensitivity to physical parameterizations . . . . .	27
	a) Evaluation of near-surface variables . . . . .	28
	b) Impact of schemes on vertical profiles . . . . .	30

2.3.2	Sensitivity to the domain configuration and to the lateral boundary conditions . . . . .	35
2.3.3	WRF code modifications . . . . .	36
	a) Computing the turbulence shear stress . . . . .	37
	b) Extracting horizontal momentum tendency terms . . . . .	38
	c) Recording the mean cumulative . . . . .	38
2.3.4	Model run optimization . . . . .	39
<b>3</b>	<b>Coastal winds dynamics during El Niño events</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	Summary . . . . .	41
3.3	Article published in <i>Climate Dynamics</i> . . . . .	43
3.4	Additional results and discussion (not included in the paper) . . . . .	89
	3.4.1 The wind drop-off . . . . .	89
	3.4.2 Shortwave flux at the air-sea interface . . . . .	90
	3.4.3 Air temperature on land surface . . . . .	90
<b>4</b>	<b>Climate change impact on the Peruvian coastal winds</b>	<b>95</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	95
4.2	Model and data . . . . .	96
	4.2.1 WRF model configuration . . . . .	96
	4.2.2 Model simulations . . . . .	96
4.3	Results . . . . .	97
	4.3.1 Surface wind validation . . . . .	97
	4.3.2 Future changes in the mean and seasonal cycle of the surface wind . . . . .	101
	4.3.3 Climate-change induced modifications of the momentum budget . . . . .	102
	4.3.4 Future changes in the vertical structure of the PBL . . . . .	107
	4.3.5 Role of the large scale atmospheric forcing and local forcing . . . . .	109
	a) The South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA) . . . . .	109
	b) The land-sea thermal contrast . . . . .	111
	c) Precipitation anomaly . . . . .	112
	4.3.6 El Niño wind anomalies under future conditions . . . . .	113
4.4	Discussion and conclusions . . . . .	117
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and perspectives</b>	<b>119</b>
5.1	Coastal winds during El Niño events . . . . .	119
	5.1.1 El Niño winds feedback on ocean dynamics . . . . .	120
	5.1.2 The “coastal El Niño” dynamics . . . . .	120
5.2	Climate change impact on coastal winds . . . . .	122
	5.2.1 Perspectives on additional regional downscaling . . . . .	123
	5.2.2 Regional oceanic climate change scenarios . . . . .	123
	5.2.3 High resolution atmosphere and ocean climate modelling . . . . .	124
	5.2.4 Regional coupled ocean/atmosphere model . . . . .	125
	<b>Bibliographie</b>	<b>127</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 The Peruvian upwelling system

Upwelling systems are regions of the ocean where cooler and nutrient rich waters from the deep ocean are transported to the surface under the action of surface winds. The nutrient rich upwelled water stimulates phytoplankton blooms that create areas with high fisheries resources (Ryther, 1969; Cushing, 1990) on one hand and, on the other hand, it has a strong influence upon the regional climate due to the presence of cool surface waters (Mechoso et al., 2014; Bretherton et al., 2004).

Regions of upwelling are located in equatorial and coastal regions of the ocean. The upwelling systems in the eastern boundaries of the oceans, also known as Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems (EBUS), are the most productive regions of the world ocean due to their important coastal biological productivity which support large commercial fisheries, up to 20% of the global fish catch (Pauly and Christensen, 1995). The four main EBUS are the Benguela upwelling system situated off southwestern Africa, the Canary upwelling system off northwestern Africa, the California upwelling system off western North America, and the Humboldt upwelling system off western South America. Due to the biomass of phytoplankton in these regions, upwelling zones can be identified by high concentrations of Chlorophyll-a (Fig. 1.1).




Fig. 1.1 Chlorophyll-a concentration from SeaWiFS satellite for the 1998-2007 period and EBUS location (Fréon et al., 2009).

The Peruvian upwelling system is part of the Humboldt upwelling system and it is located approximately between 5°S and 20°S along the coast of Peru. It is the most productive region among the EBUS (Chavez et al., 2008). It is of fundamental importance because of its immense fishery resources which support a large part of the regional economy (~ 10% of the world's fish catch; FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Statistics, <http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/>).

Upwelling regions are located on the eastern flanks of the large-scale subtropical anticyclones, where winds parallel to the coast directed towards the equator induce the upwelling processes. In particular, the Peruvian upwelling system is located on the eastern flank of the South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA) and the upwelling is induced by southerly alongshore winds associated with the southeast trade winds (Fig. 1.2).




Fig. 1.2 Monthly average sea level pressure and surface winds for July, using the 1959-1997 climatological base period of the NCEP Reanalysis project. The red line denotes the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone location. (Source of image: Climate Lab Section of the Environmental Change Research Group, Department of Geography, University of Oregon)

### 1.1.1 Regional climatic features

The southeast Pacific climate system, where Peruvian upwelling system is located, together with strong ocean coastal upwelling, is characterized by an extensive stratocumulus deck at the top of the marine boundary layer and the longest continental mountain chain in the world named the Andes Cordillera (Fig. 1.3). The stratocumulus deck plays an important role in the regional climate by reflecting incoming solar radiation. The Andes Cordillera reaches altitudes above 4 km, it is located along the west coast of the continent from equator to about 40° and it represents an obstacle for tropospheric flow (Richter and Mechoso, 2006; Takahashi and Battisti, 2007).

Over the tropical ocean at approximately 8°N, the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) is located where the northeast and southeast trade winds converge and warm air rises creating a low pressure zone. The rising air produces high cloudiness, frequent

thunderstorms, and heavy rainfall. A high-pressure system is located in the southern part of the Pacific Ocean, called the South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA), associated with the large scale subsidence. Its intensity shows a semiannual variation with maximums in February and October, and minimums in January and May. It is located at its southernmost position ( $\sim 37^\circ\text{S}$ ) and far from the continent ( $\sim 108^\circ\text{W}$ ) in February and March, and reaches its northernmost position ( $\sim 26^\circ\text{S}$ ) and close to the continent ( $\sim 86^\circ\text{W}$ ) in May (Ancapichun and Garcés-Vargas, 2015). Surface winds that travel from high to low pressure systems over the region are called southerly trade winds. They increase from summer to winter at lower latitudes ( $5\text{--}20^\circ\text{S}$ ) and decrease at higher latitudes ( $20\text{--}40^\circ\text{S}$ ; Rahn and Garreaud (2013)).

The subtropical subsidence over the southeast Pacific ocean together with the ascent over the ITCZ, the low-level trade winds, and the upper-level poleward flow form the Hadley cell, a major feature of the atmosphere general circulation.




Fig. 1.3 *The Southeast Pacific Climate System* (Mechoso et al., 2014).

### 1.1.2 Coastal dynamics

We present here a description of the main physical processes and mechanisms that determine the structure of the interacting atmospheric and oceanic boundary layers (the last one is sometimes called the Ekman layer; Fig. 1.4). Their interactions are very important drivers of the coastal wind and the surface currents.

#### a) The marine atmospheric boundary layer

The marine atmospheric boundary layer, also known as Planetary boundary layer (PBL), is part of the lower troposphere that interacts directly with the sea surface through turbulent transport processes. The PBL consists of a surface boundary layer, a well-mixed layer and a capping entrainment layer. The surface boundary layer is the lowest layer of the PBL ( $\sim 10\%$  of the boundary layer) where the air is in contact with the surface and where strong vertical gradients in temperature, humidity and wind exist. Since the PBL height ranges from  $\sim 500\text{ m}$  to  $3000\text{ m}$ , the surface layer is  $50\text{--}300\text{ m}$  thick.




Fig. 1.4 A scheme of the main physical processes (courtesy of V. Echevin)

The subtropical subsidence over the southeast Pacific ocean produces a temperature inversion in the PBL (Bretherton et al., 2010) several meters above the surface. In a temperature inversion, a warm air layer (of  $\sim 600$  m thick at  $30^\circ\text{S}$ ) is trapped between cooler layers above and below. The PBL is often topped by a shallow layer of stratocumulus cloud. In fact, the SEP is covered by the largest and most persistent deck of stratocumulus in the world (Klein and Hartmann, 1993).

A special aspect of the planetary boundary layer is its turbulent nature, which has an effect on the vertical profiles of wind, temperature and moisture within the boundary layer.

## b) The oceanic boundary layer

It is the upper layer of the ocean. In the coastal region, upwelling is the main physical process, which is primarily a response of the ocean to the atmospheric forcing. In the Peruvian upwelling system, it is sustained by a permanent moderate wind blowing equatorward. The alongshore wind pushes the surface water away from the coast inducing the rise of cold water to the surface. The wind-driven oceanic flow is confined to the surface in the Ekman layer. In the Ekman layer the frictional force is balanced by the Coriolis force. The net horizontal motion averaged in depth is perpendicular to the wind and is referred as the Ekman transport. The Ekman transport is to the left of the wind in the Southern Hemisphere. On the other hand, the wind intensity, increasing offshore, creates divergence of the Ekman transport which also drives vertical motions and enhances the upwelling. This process is known as Ekman pumping. The profile of the wind decrease towards the coast (Capet et al., 2004), called wind drop-off, is affected by orography and air-sea interactions (Boé et al., 2011; Renault et al., 2015), but it has not been extensively studied for the Peru region. (see red arrows in Fig. 1.4). Zonal and meridional compo-



nents of Ekman transport  $T_x$  and  $T_y$ , and Ekman pumping  $W_{Ek}$  can be computed using the following definitions:

$$T_x = \frac{\tau_y}{\rho f}$$

$$T_y = -\frac{\tau_x}{\rho f}$$

and

$$W_{ek} = \frac{1}{\rho f} \left( \frac{\partial \tau_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tau_x}{\partial y} \right)$$

where  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$  are the zonal and meridional components of the surface wind stress,  $\rho$  is the seawater density and  $f$  is the Coriolis parameter.

## 1.2 The El Niño - Southern Oscillation (ENSO)

### 1.2.1 Large scale characteristics

The ENSO is a irregular oscillation of the ocean-atmosphere climate system that occurs across the equatorial Pacific ocean with a period of 2 to 7 years, caused by recurring redistributions of heat and atmospheric momentum (McPhaden, 1999). ENSO perturbs the east-west atmospheric circulation pattern along the equator, known as the Walker Circulation. ENSO consists of a warm phase, El Niño, and a cold phase, La Niña.

El Niño event, the warm phase of the ENSO, is characterized by unusually warm ocean temperatures in the central and eastern equatorial Pacific, higher sea-level pressures in the Western Pacific and weakening of the Trade Winds leading to a flattening of the thermocline associated with a depression of the thermocline in the eastern Pacific, and an elevation of the thermocline in the west (top right Fig. 1.5). According to the locations of sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies, El Niño can be divided into two types: eastern Pacific El Niño (EP El Niño) and central Pacific El Niño (CP El Niño) (Capotondi et al. (2015); U.S. CLIVAR Report, 2013). La Niña, the cold phase of the ENSO which is the opposite of the El Niño, is characterized by stronger than normal trade winds over the Pacific ocean, and extensive cooling of the central and eastern Pacific ocean and the thermocline moves closer to the surface (bottom right Fig. 1.5).

The Southern Oscillation is the atmospheric component of ENSO. It involves a fluctuation of the surface pressure across the equatorial Pacific and occurs in concert with El Niño. They both rely on and modulate each other (Fig. 1.6). Bjerknes (1969) showed that an anomalous positive difference of SST between the West and East Pacific enhances the easterly wind stress in the equatorial Pacific, which in turns amplifies the anomalous positive SST difference (the La Niña phase). The opposite conditions, an anomalous negative difference of SST between the West and East Pacific, would lead to El Niño. This positive feedback between atmosphere and ocean is called the Bjerknes feedback.

El Niño is characterized by anomalous westerly winds in the West-Central Pacific, which cause the generation of eastward propagating oceanic Kelvin waves and westward-moving Rossby waves. Kelvin waves lead to the accumulation of warm water and the deepening of the thermocline in the eastern Pacific (Wyrtki, 1975). Some scientists (Suarez




Fig. 1.5 Schematic diagrams of El Niño, Normal and La Niña conditions.

Source: <https://www.pmel.noaa.gov/elnino/schematic-diagrams>

and Schopf, 1988; Battisti and Hirst, 1989) have postulated that upwelling Kelvin wave generated by reflection of Rossby wave could cancel the warming in the east (the so-called Delayed-oscillator theory).

### 1.2.2 The impact of El Niño on the Peruvian upwelling system

A unique aspect of the Peruvian upwelling system is its proximity to the equator in the Eastern Pacific, which places it directly under the influence at interannual time scales of El Niño events.

During El Niño events, SST off the coast of Peru rises up strongly above normal (for example 3°C during EN 1997-1998; Picaut et al. (2002)), the thermocline and nutricline deepen significantly which reduce the productivity (Barber and Chavez, 1983; Espinoza-Morriberón et al., 2017), while the upwelling favorable winds increase. Due to the deeper thermocline, upwelling brings up warm water with few nutrients, causing serious economic consequences like the reduction of the Peruvian anchoveta fisheries, populations of fish and seabirds (Fig. 1.7). In addition to the fishing industry, agriculture is another of the main sectors of the economy that is severely affected by the El Niño events due to heavy rains, floodings or extremely hot or cold weather (Bayer et al., 2014).




Fig. 1.6 Times serie of the temperature in eastern equatorial Pacific (averaged over the area  $5^{\circ}\text{N}$ - $5^{\circ}\text{S}$  and  $170^{\circ}\text{W}$ - $120^{\circ}\text{W}$ , the so-called Niño 3.4 index) and the sea level pressure difference between East and West Pacific (the so-called SOI index). Negative values of the SOI and positive values of the Niño 3.4 index correspond to El Niño episodes while a positive SOI index and a negative value of the Niño 3.4 index are typical of La Niña periods. Source: [http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/ensocycle/soi.shtml](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/ensocycle/soi.shtml)




Fig. 1.7 Time series of seabird abundance, anchovy and sardine landings in the Peruvian upwelling system (Chavez et al., 2003).

The observations showed that during El Niño events while on one hand the coastal wind is strongly increased (Enfield, 1981; Kessler 2006; Fig. 1.8), on the other hand, the upwelling of cool water is cut off by the poleward advection of tropical waters along the coast (Wyrtki, 1975; Colas et al., 2008). Note that there is still upwelling during El Niño, but of warmer water because of the deepening of the thermocline.




Fig. 1.8 Coastal wind observations off Peru during El Niño events from anemometer and radiosonde wind data at Lima-Callao airport (left panel; Enfield (1981)) and from ERS satellite (right panel; Kessler (2006)).

While the occurrence of upwelling-favorable winds off the coast of Peru during El Niño events led to realize that coastal warming off Peru must be remotely forced by Kelvin waves (Wyrtki, 1975), the processes that drive the nearshore wind increase off Peru during El Niño remain unclear. Some studies suggested that the wind intensification off the coast of Peru during El Niño could be driven by the strengthening of the cross-shore pressure gradient owing to an enhanced cross-shore thermal contrast between land and sea, which should support a geostrophic wind (Enfield (1981); Bakun and Weeks (2008); Fig. 1.9a). On the other hand, Kessler (2006) suggested that anomalous SST gradients that appear along the Peruvian coasts (due to the fact that SST anomalies are largest in the North coast than in the south during El Niño) could drive a strengthening of the alongshore pressure gradient and so produce the wind acceleration (Fig. 1.9b), but the mechanisms were not studied. In addition, a warm SST anomalies could enhance the turbulent mixing in the PBL and increase the transfer of vertical momentum from upper layers to the ocean surface, causing surface wind intensification (e.g. Wallace et al. (1989); Fig. 1.9c).




Fig. 1.9 A scheme of some hypothesis proposed to explain the wind intensification off the Peru coast during El Niño (courtesy of F. Colas)

## 1.3 Global climate change

Climate change refers to the change in the mean state or the variability of the climate system over long periods of time (typically decades or longer), due to natural variability or as a result of human activity (IPCC AR4 WG1, 2007).

### 1.3.1 Greenhouse effect

Solar radiation that passes through the atmosphere warms the surface of the Earth and then some of the radiations go back into space. However, due to the presence of greenhouse gasses in the atmosphere ( $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $O_3$ , etc.) a part of the outgoing radiation is trapped and reemitted in all directions. This so-called natural “greenhouse effect” balances the average temperature on Earth (Fig. 1.10). Without greenhouse gases, the average surface temperature would be very low (around  $-18^\circ\text{C}$  rather than the about  $14.5^\circ\text{C}$  found today) to support life as we know it.

However, as greenhouse gases have increased rapidly in the last decades due to industrial activities, the natural greenhouse effect has intensified which have led to an unusually ever-rising average global temperature (Fig. 1.11).

### 1.3.2 Climate change scenarios

Climate change scenarios or socioeconomic scenarios are projections of future greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions used by analysts to assess future vulnerability to climate change (Carter et al., 2001). The scientific community, led by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), has developed climate change scenarios which are based on possible




Fig. 1.10 Schematic of greenhouse effect. Adapted from <https://www.earthecclipse.com>

future population levels, economic activity, governance, social values, and technological changes.

#### a) Idealized scenarios

These are simple scenarios based on the assumption of an progressive increase (1% yr<sup>-1</sup>) of the atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration until a doubling ( $2CO_2$  scenario) or quadrupling ( $4CO_2$  scenario) of its initial value (Covey et al., 2003). The scenario of reference is called “preindustrial” scenario with  $CO_2$  concentration fixed to its preindustrial level (280 ppm; Fig. 1.12).

#### b) Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES) scenarios

These are a group of 40 scenarios developed by the IPCC in the year 2000. Each scenario is divided into one of four families (A1, A2, B1, B2), each with common themes (e.g: Population changes, energy sources, economic development, etc.). B1 scenario is the more optimistic and A2 is the more pessimistic. Political or legislative actions have no effect on the development of these scenarios, for example commitments made under the Kyoto protocol. The SRES scenarios were used in the IPCC Third Assessment Report (TAR), published in 2001, and in the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), published in 2007 (Fig. 1.12).

#### c) Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) scenarios

The RCPs is a set of four new pathways developed for the climate modeling community as a basis for climate change modeling experiments (van Vuuren et al., 2011). It have been adopted by the IPCC for its fifth Assessment Report (AR5) in 2014 and it supersedes the SRES scenarios projections published in 2000. The four RCPs cover a wide range of possible radiative forcing (relative to pre-industrial values) found in the scientific literature published for the year 2100, i.e. from 2.6 to 8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>. So, each RCP is based on possible emission scenarios already published. Total radiative forcing is determinated by positive forcing from greenhouse gases and negative forcing from aerosols, where the  $CO_2$  forcing is the most dominant factor (Fig. 1.13).




Fig. 1.11 a) Observed global mean combined land and ocean surface temperature anomalies, from 1850 to 2012 from three data sets. Top panel: annual mean values. Bottom panel: decadal mean values including the estimate of uncertainty for one dataset (black). Anomalies are relative to the mean of 1961–1990. b) Map of the observed surface temperature change from 1901 to 2012 derived from temperature trends determined by linear regression from one dataset (orange line in panel a). Source: IPCC, 2013: Summary for Policymakers.




Fig. 1.12 SRES and idealized scenarios (Stouffer, 2009)




Fig. 1.13 Trends in radiative forcing (left), cumulative 21st century CO<sub>2</sub> emissions vs 2100 radiative forcing (middle) and 2100 forcing level per category (right) (van Vuuren et al., 2011).



### 1.3.3 Global climate change projections

The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP) was established as a standard experimental protocol for studying the climate change simulations of different coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation models (AOGCMs). The research based on the phase five of CMIP (CMIP5) dataset, which involve 20 climate modeling groups from around the world (with 65 different model configurations), provided much of the new material underlying the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5).

CMIP5 provide projections of future climate change on two time scales, the so-called near term (out to about 2035) and long term (out to 2100 and beyond). Results from CMIP5 models show that global surface temperature change for the end of the 21st century is likely to exceed  $1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  relative to 1850 to 1900 for all RCP scenarios except RCP2.6. It is likely to exceed  $2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for RCP6.0 and RCP8.5, and more likely than not to exceed  $2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for RCP4.5. Surface temperatures increase at a greater rate over land than ocean due to the larger evaporation and heat capacity of oceans waters. Precipitation spatial variability increases, with reduction in the subtropics and increase at high latitudes and low tropical levels (Fig. 1.14).




Fig. 1.14 Maps depicting the annual mean surface temperature change (in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and the average change (in %) in annual mean precipitation for the RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 scenarios for the time period between 2081 and 2100 (source: IPCC 2013 SPM)

### 1.3.4 Effects of climate change on the upwelling-favorable coastal winds

Changes in alongshore winds, which are the main driving forces of upwelling process in the EBUS, may be driven by changes in the large scale atmospheric circulation, particularly the strength and location of the subtropical high pressure centers.

In that regard, the meridional circulation in the tropics, known as the Hadley circulation, has been predicted to expand toward the poles in response to warming (Lu et al., 2007). Rykaczewski et al. (2015) suggest that the poleward migration of the subtropical atmospheric high pressure systems, which is associated with the Hadley cell expansion, could explain the projected intensification of winds over the major upwelling regions at high latitudes and the weakening of winds at low latitudes that they found using outputs from 21 AOGCMs of the CMIP5 database (Fig. 1.15). Using the same database, Wang et al. (2015) also found that wind-driven upwelling season will increase its duration and become more intense at high (but not low) latitudes.

On the other hand, the strength of the zonal circulation in the equatorial Pacific, known as the Walker circulation, has been predicted to decrease in response to global warming (Vecchi and Soden, 2007). Since the descending branch of the Walker cell is located in the eastern equatorial Pacific, its decrease could lead to reduced winds in northern Peru, assuming that a continuity of low level airflow exists between the Peruvian trade winds and the equatorial trade winds. However, the links between the zonal Walker circulation and the Peruvian trade winds have not been studied and thus are uncertain. In fact, as mentioned above, it has been observed that equatorial trade winds may decrease while coastal winds off Peru may increase, as during El Niño events (Kessler, 2006).




Fig. 1.15 a) Projected mean change between the periods 2071-2100 (scenario RCP 8.5) and 1861-1890 (historical) for a) sea level pressure and b) meridional wind stress for the main EBUS regions (Figure adapted from Rykaczewski et al. (2015)). Data obtained from 21 AOGCMs of CMIP5 project. Note that Humboldt upwelling system was cut by the north at 15°S.

In addition to the impact of large scale atmospheric circulation changes, Bakun (1990) proposed that the nearshore winds in upwelling regions may increase in the future due to the increase of land-sea temperature difference. He suggested that under global warming, due to the increase of the greenhouse gases, land temperature will rise faster than ocean

temperature driving an enhancement of the cross-shore pressure gradient and thereby increasing the upwelling favorable winds.




Fig. 1.16 Diagram of Bakun's mechanism for the upwelling favorable wind intensification (Bakun and Weeks, 2008).

Bakun based his hypothesis on wind observations reported by ships at sea (COADS data base), which show a positive trend in upwelling favorable winds over the last decades in the four major eastern boundary upwelling systems (Fig. 1.17). However, these observations were partly biased due to increases in anemometer height of ship masts (Tokinaga and Xie, 2011). Narayan et al. (2010) show that the trends in meridional wind stress in the four major upwelling systems obtained from three different datasets (COADS, NCEP/NCAR and ERA-40) differ substantially from each other. In an attempt to investigate if contemporary wind trends support Bakun's hypothesis, Sydeman et al. (2014) performed a statistical analysis from 22 published studies and found an increased probability of wind intensification within most upwelling systems, but mainly at higher latitudes.

#### a) Regional modelling studies on the Peruvian upwelling system

In the Humboldt upwelling system, a possible strengthening of the upwelling-favorable winds was provided by the observations of a negative trend in coastal SST off northern Chile (Falvey and Garreaud, 2009) and off central and southern Peru (Gutiérrez et al., 2011). However, these trends could be associated to low frequency climate variations (interannual to multidecadal) that may confound trends in relatively short time series. For example, Vargas et al. (2007) showed using data from marine sediments that interdecadal variability of coastal winds in the Peru-Chile current is associated with Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), that is a long-lived El Niño like pattern of Pacific climate variability.

There are not many references on the regional impact of climate change on coastal winds in the Humboldt upwelling system. Garreaud and Falvey (2008) performed a dynamic downscaling (using a regional dynamical model) of the Hadley Center model under A2 and B2 climate scenarios and found an intensification of the wind off Chile, consistent with the observed downward trend in ocean temperatures. Goubanova et al. (2011) applied a statistical downscaling method to the outputs of the IPSL-CM4 model under the pre-industrial,  $2CO_2$  and  $4CO_2$  climate scenarios and found a wind decrease in summer off Peru and a wind increase during all seasons off Chile (Fig. 1.18). These results can




Fig. 1.17 Monthly average of alongshore wind stress off A) California, B) the Iberian Peninsula, C) Morocco and, D) and E) Peru. Short and longer dashes indicate the long term mean and the linear trend of each time serie, respectively. Adapted from (Bakun, 1990).

be explained by the southeastern displacement of the South Pacific Anticyclone observed in low resolution IPSL-CM4 simulations. This has the effect of reducing meridian pressure gradient in the northern Humboldt system and increase it in the southern Humboldt system. These trends have been confirmed by the application of the statistical method to other models of IPCC (Goubanova et al., 2011). Belmadani et al. (2014) confirmed these results in a dynamical downscaling study using the atmospheric model LMDz, which achieves a resolution of 50 km in the Humboldt system. It was forced by the surface temperatures of the climate scenarios used in the study of Goubanova et al. (2011). A wind decrease (increase) off Peru (Chile) was obtained with this model associated with a decrease (increase) in the alongshore pressure gradient associated with the poleward displacement of the South Pacific Anticyclone. No increase in land-sea temperature contrast (i.e. “Bakun’s mechanism”) was found driving the observed changes (Fig. 1.19).

The limitations of these model-based regional projections are the low spatial resolution (50-100 km), the use of a single global model for the downscaling, and the use of idealized, old and “academic” climate scenarios (2CO<sub>2</sub> and 4CO<sub>2</sub> emission scenarios).




Fig. 1.18 Change (in %) of the alongshore wind component off Peru and Chile, between  $0^{\circ}\text{S}$  and  $40^{\circ}\text{S}$ , between the scenarios a) pre-industrial and  $2\text{CO}_2$ , b) pre-industrial and  $4\text{CO}_2$ . Adapted from Goubanova et al. (2011).




Fig. 1.19 a) Mean alongshore wind for pre-industrial (PI),  $2\text{CO}_2$ , and  $4\text{CO}_2$ . b) Mean alongshore momentum balance for PI and  $4\text{CO}_2$ . c) Mean cross-shore temperature along the coast for PI  $2\text{CO}_2$ , and  $4\text{CO}_2$ . Quantities are computed in a one-degree coastal band. From Belmadani et al. (2014).

## b) Regional modelling studies on other upwelling systems

There are few references about the impact of climate change on coastal wind in other upwelling systems.

[Miranda et al. \(2013\)](#) analyzed outputs from climate change atmospheric simulations (under the IPCC A2 scenario, and the periods 1961-1990 and 2071-2100) with the RACMO regional model at 50 km of horizontal resolution and found an intensification of upwelling favorable wind at three west Iberian capes (Finisterre, Carvoeiro and St. Vincent) of the northern part of the Canary upwelling system. They were associated with an increase of the land-sea thermal contrast and a decrease of the sea level pressure over land, in agreement with Bakun's hypothesis.

[Snyder et al. \(2003\)](#) used the RegCM2.5 regional climate atmospheric model (at 40 km of horizontal resolution) to estimate changes in wind-driven upwelling under increased  $CO_2$  concentration (from 338-369 ppm to 635-686 ppm) in the California upwelling system. They compared the period 1980-1999 with  $CO_2$  concentrations from 338 ppm to 369 ppm against the period 2080-2099 with  $CO_2$  concentration from 635 ppm to 686 ppm. They found an increase of the upwelling-driven wind stress curl off northern California during warmest months (June-September) which produces an intensified upwelling season, and a temporal displacement of one month of the peak of the upwelling season. The land-ocean temperature gradient increased during summer months associated with the intensification of the wind stress curl, in agreement with the hypothesis of [Bakun \(1990\)](#).

## 1.4 Research questions

This thesis aims to improve the knowledge of the key mechanisms governing the coastal wind changes off the Peru coast during warming conditions, namely El Niño situation and future climate change.

### Coastal winds during El Niño events

Coastal winds off Peru during El Niño events are usually upwelling favorable which contrasts with the observed SST warming. The physical processes producing these wind anomalies have not been studied so far. This thesis addressed this issue through the following questions:

- What are the main forces that induce the alongshore wind anomalies off Peru during El Niño events?
- What is the impact of anomalously warm surface ocean on the low atmosphere conditions during El Niño?
- What are the respective roles of the large scale and local SST forcing on the alongshore wind anomalies?
- What is the role of the South Pacific Anticyclone on alongshore winds off Peru during El Niño event?
- Are the same dynamical processes active during different El Niño events?

### **Effects of climate change on coastal winds**

The initial analysis of the Peruvian studies of the projected change for the upwelling favorable winds off Peru needs further refinement, and its results need to be confirmed by using more recent climate scenarios. This thesis addressed this issue through the following questions:

- What are the projected coastal wind changes in the Peruvian area by performing a dynamical downscaling of the multi model mean of an ensemble of global models?
- Are the projected coastal wind changes off Peru under older idealized scenarios different from those under the new scenarios RCP ?
- Are the projected coastal wind changes sensitive to the resolution of the regional model?
- What are the mechanisms responsible for possible projected wind changes? Are they the same as during El Niño events?







# Chapter 2

## Data and methods

In this chapter, we present the regional atmospheric model configured to address the research questions about El Niño processes and climate change in the Peru region. First, we describe the data used to build the lateral boundary and initial conditions, as well as the data obtained from observations used to calibrate and validate the model simulations. Then, we provide a brief description of the model. And finally, we show the steps carried out in order to configure the model and to improve the simulations in our region of interest.

### 2.1 Data

#### 2.1.1 Global atmospheric reanalysis data

Atmospheric reanalysis data from three database were used to build the initial and lateral boundary conditions for our simulations:

1. ERA-Interim reanalysis data (Dee et al., 2011) is the latest global atmospheric reanalysis produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). It covers the period from 1979 to the present and includes 6-hourly, 4-dimensional variables with a spatial resolution of approximately 80 km and 60 vertical levels from the surface up to 0.1 hPa. It can be downloaded from <http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/>.
2. Climate Forecast System Reanalysis (CFSR, Saha et al. (2010)) is available at a horizontal resolution of  $0.313^\circ$  and 64 vertical levels (6-hourly). Data is available online at <https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds093.1/> from 1979 to 2010.
3. NCEP2 reanalysis (Kanamitsu et al., 2002) is a product from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). NCEP2 data has a spatial resolution of  $2.5^\circ$ , 17 vertical levels and temporal resolution of 6 h, covering 1979-present. It is a improved version of NCEP reanalysis in which errors were fixed and parameterization of physical processes were updated. It can be downloaded from <https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/>.

ERA-Interim was used for the study about El Niño (chapter 3) and NCEP2 for climate change (chapter 4). CFSR was used for the model domain configuration (see section 2.3).

### 2.1.2 CMIP5 Models

The outputs from thirty one (31) CMIP5 models, for the historical runs from 1989 to 2009 and RCP8.5 scenario from 2080 to 2100, were assembled for the climate change study. Information about each model is listed in Table 2.1. The variables used to build the boundary conditions and the initial state (humidity, air temperature, zonal and meridional wind component, atmospheric pressure and sea surface temperature) were interpolated to a common  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  grid before the multimodel mean was calculated.

Number	Model	Institution	Resolution (in degrees)
1	ACCESS1-0	CSIRO-BOM (Australia)	$1.875 \times 1.25$
2	ACCESS1-3	CSIRO-BOM (Australia)	$1.875 \times 1.25$
3	bcc-csm1-1	BCC (China)	$1 \times 1.33$
4	BNU-ESM	GCESS (China)	$2.8 \times 2.8$
5	CanESM2	CCCma (Canada)	$2.8125 \times 2.8125$
6	CCSM4	NCAR (USA)	$0.9 \times 1.25$
7	CESM1-BGC	NSF-DOE-NCAR (USA)	$0.9 \times 1.25$
8	CESM1-CAM5	NSF-DOE-NCAR (USA)	$0.9 \times 1.25$
9	CMCC-CM	CMCC (Italy)	$0.75 \times 0.75$ (L31)
10	CMCC-CMS	CMCC (Italy)	$0.75 \times 0.75$ (L95)
11	CNRM-CM5	CNRM-CERFACS (France)	$1.5 \times 1.5$
12	CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	CSIRO-QCCCE (Australia)	$1.875 \times 1.875$
13	FGOALS-g2	LASG-CESS (China)	$3 \times 2.8$
14	FIO_ESM	FIO (China)	$2.8 \times 2.8$
15	GFDL-CM3	NOAA GFDL (USA)	$2 \times 2.5$
16	GFDL-ESM2G	NOAA GFDL (USA)	$2 \times 2.5$
17	GFDL-ESM2M	NOAA GFDL (USA)	$2 \times 2.5$
18	HadGEM2-CC	MOHC (UK)	$1.25 \times 1.875$
19	HadGEM2-ES	MOHC (UK)	$1.25 \times 1.875$
20	inmcm4	INM (Russia)	$1.5 \times 2.0$
21	IPSL-CM5A-LR	IPSL (France)	$1.875 \times 3.75$
22	IPSL-CM5A-MR	IPSL (France)	$1.25 \times 2.5$
23	IPSL-CM5B-LR	IPSL (France)	$1.875 \times 3.75$
24	MIROC5	MIROC (Japan)	$2.8 \times 2.8$
25	MIROC-ESM-CHEM	MIROC (Japan)	$2.8 \times 2.8$
26	MIROC-ESM	MIROC (Japan)	$2.8 \times 2.8$
27	MPI-ESM-LR	MPI (Germany)	$1.875 \times 1.875$ (L47)
28	MPI-ESM-MR	MPI (Germany)	$1.875 \times 1.875$ (L95)
29	MRI-CGCM3	MRI (Japan)	$1.125 \times 1.125$
30	NorESM1-M	NCC (Norway)	$1.875 \times 2.5$
31	NorESM1-ME	NCC (Norway)	$1.875 \times 2.5$

Table 2.1 – List of CMIP5 Models used

### 2.1.3 Observational data

Wind observations from two different satellite-borne scatterometers (ERS and QuikSCAT) were used to evaluate the realism of the modelled surface winds over the sea surface. The two products were processed by CERSAT (2002a, b) and downloaded from <http://www.ifremer.fr/cersat>. Wind data from ERS are provided at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution over the period 1992–2000 at a weekly frequency, and QuikSCAT wind fields at  $1/4^\circ \times 1/4^\circ$  resolution over the period 2000–2008 at a daily frequency.

The daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) at  $1/4^\circ$  (Reynolds et al., 2007) was used as SST forcing for the atmospheric model. It is commonly known as the Reynolds SST and it is available from <https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.noaa.oisst.v2.html>.

VOCALS-REx (VAMOS Ocean-Cloud-Atmosphere-Land Study Regional Experiment; Wood et al. (2011)) in situ measurements of wind, temperature and air temperature were also used to validate the simulations. The data were collected during oceanographic campaigns that took place in the Southeast Pacific between October and November 2008. We used radiosonde data collected by 216 launches (with altitude increments of 10 m) along a zonal section at  $20^\circ\text{S}$ .

Shortwave radiation at ocean surface from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP; Zhang et al. (2004)) onto  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  and daily resolution used by the OAFlux project (<http://oafux.whoi.edu>) were used to evaluate the model solution heat fluxes and cloud cover. Data sets of air specific humidity at surface were also obtained from the OAFLUX project.

## 2.2 The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model is a numerical weather prediction (NWP) and atmospheric simulation system designed for both research and operational applications (Skamarock et al., 2008). It is an open-source model which development is led by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA), the Naval Research Laboratory, the University of Oklahoma, and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). It is suitable for a broad span of applications including real-time NWP, data assimilation development and studies, parameterized-physics research, regional climate simulations, air quality modeling, atmosphere-ocean coupling, and idealized simulations.

Figure 2.1 shows the principal components of the WRF system. It has three main components, the WRF Pre-processing System (WPS), the WRF model and the Post-Processing & Visualization component. WPS is used for setting initial and boundary conditions (i.e. simulation area, terrain, land use, soil type, etc) on the simulation domain using external data sources. The WRF model contains two dynamical solvers, referred to as the ARW (Advanced Research WRF) core and the NMM (Nonhydrostatic Mesoscale Model) core. The ARW core was used in our simulations. The dynamical core runs the model simulations with selected physical parameterizations, time step, etc. The Post-Processing & Visualization component refers to the graphics and verification tools used to process the output data.




Fig. 2.1 WRF software infrastructure. It has three main components: the WRF Pre-processing System (WPS), the WRF model and the Post-Processing & Visualization component. Source: <http://www2.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users/model.html>.

### 2.2.1 Primitive equations

The so-called primitive equations are those that govern the evolution of the atmospheric motions. They consist of the following main sets of balance equations.

Equation of state:

$$p = \rho R_d T$$

Conservation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = 0$$

Conservation of momentum:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} &= -U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - V \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - W \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + fV + U_m \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} &= -U \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - V \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - W \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} - fU + V_m \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} &= -U \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - V \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - W \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - g + W_m \end{aligned}$$

Conservation of energy:

$$\frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U\theta}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V\theta}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial W\theta}{\partial z} = \rho Q$$

where  $U = \rho u$ ,  $V = \rho v$ ,  $W = \rho w$  and  $\Theta = \rho\theta$ .  $\vec{v} = (u, v, w)$  are the velocity components in the (x,y,z) directions respectively,  $\theta$  is the potential temperature,  $\rho$  is the air density,  $f$  is the Coriolis parameter,  $P$  is the atmospheric pressure,  $U_m, V_m, W_m$  include vertical and horizontal diffusion,  $Q$  is the heat flow per unit of mass,  $R_d$  is the gas constant for dry air, and  $T$  is the absolute temperature.

The WRF model solves the primitive equations written in flux form using a terrain-following hydrostatic pressure vertical coordinate, which is denoted by  $\eta$  and defined as:

$$\eta = (p_h - p_{ht})/\mu$$

where  $\mu = p_{hs} - p_{ht}$ ,  $p_h$  is the hydrostatic component of the pressure, and  $p_{hs}$  and  $p_{ht}$  refers to values along the surface and top boundaries, respectively.  $\eta$  varies from a value of 1 at the surface to 0 at the upper boundary of the model domain (Fig 2.2).




Fig. 2.2 WRF vertical coordinate  $\eta$  (Skamarock et al. 2008).

## 2.2.2 Model discretization

### a) Temporal discretization

The model uses a third order Runge Kutta (RK) time integration scheme (i.e. of three substeps) with a user-defined large time step  $\Delta t$ , and with a small step  $\Delta \tau$  for acoustic and gravity wave modes.

Defining the prognostic variables in the WRF-ARW solver as  $\Phi = (U, V, W, \Theta, \phi', \mu', Q)$  and the model equations as  $\Phi_t = R(\Phi)$ , the Runge Kutta 3rd order time integration takes

the form of 3 steps to advance a solution  $\Phi(t)$  a  $\Phi(t + \Delta t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^* &= \Phi^t + \frac{\Delta t}{3}R(\Phi^t) \\ \Phi^{**} &= \Phi^t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}R(\Phi^*) \\ \Phi^{t+\Delta t} &= \Phi^t + \Delta t R(\Phi^{**})\end{aligned}$$

## b) Spatial discretization

The spatial discretization in the WRF-ARW solver (which is used for our simulations) uses the Arakawa C-grid staggering for the variables as shown in Fig. 2.3. That is, variables from WRF can be located at one of 4 possible points within each grid cell: at the center of the cell ( $\theta$ -point), at the center of the left face ( $u$ -points), at the center of the front face ( $v$ -points), and at the center of the bottom face ( $w$ -points).

Scalar variables (e.g. temperature, pressure, etc.) generally live at  $\theta$ -points. One exception is the geopotential, which lives at  $w$ -points. This grid and variable definitions define the spatial discretization for the WRF-ARW solver.




Fig. 2.3 Horizontal and vertical grids of the WRF-ARW solver (Skamarock et al. 2008)

## 2.3 Model configuration

We calibrated the WRF model version 3.3.1 in terms of the sensitivity to physical parameterizations and to the model domain configuration. It was used in our study about El Niño. For our study on climate change, we used the same physical parameterizations and a similar domain configuration nested in other bigger domain (see section 4.2). In addition, we also examined the sensitivity of the model results to the lateral boundary conditions from different databases.

On the other hand, we also present a set of modifications to the WRF code that was done to add some capabilities to the model, and the machine configuration used to run our simulations.

### 2.3.1 Sensitivity to physical parameterizations

As first step, the model performance with six different set of physical parameterizations were tested on the domain shown in Fig. 2.4. It has a resolution of  $0.25^\circ$  with 60 vertical sigma levels and covers the Peru and Northern Chile region between  $30^\circ\text{S}$  and  $12^\circ\text{N}$ .

The six sets of different physical parameterizations are summarized in Table 2.2. For this purpose, WRF sensitivity experiments were carried out and compared with observations for the year 2008. The year 2008 was selected as the test period because of the availability of the in-situ observation data from the VOCALS-Rex cruises experiment and QuikSCAT satellite data.




Fig. 2.4 WRF domain used to evaluate the sensitivity to physical parameterizations.

Physics options	WRF sensitivity experiments					
	WRF1	WRF2	WRF3	WRF4	WRF5	WRF6
Shortwave radiation	Dudhia	Dudhia	Goddard	Dudhia	RRTMG	Dudhia
Planetary Boundary Layer	YSU	MYNN2	MYNN2	MYNN2	YSU	TEMF
Surface Layer	MM5	MM5	MM5	MYNN	MM5	TEMF

Table 2.2 – Parameterization schemes for physical processes for each of WRF testing experiments

The following parameterizations were used:

- Shortwave radiation:
  - The [Dudhia \(1989\)](#) scheme, a simple downward integration, allowing efficiently for clouds and clear-sky absorption and scattering.
  - Goddard shortwave ([Chou and Suarez, 1994](#)), a two-stream multi-band scheme with ozone from climatology and cloud effects.

- RRTMG shortwave (Iacono et al., 2008), a new shortwave scheme with the MCICA method of random cloud overlap.
- Planetary Boundary Layer:
  - Yonsei University scheme (YSU; Hong and Lim (2006)), a non-local-K scheme with explicit entrainment layer and parabolic K profile in unstable mixed layer.
  - Mellor-Yamada Nakanishi and Niino Level 2.5 PBL (MYNN2; Nakanishi and Niino (2009)), predicts sub-grid TKE terms.
  - Total-Energy Mass Flux (TEMF; Angevine, Jiang and Mauriten ,2010) scheme, a sub-grid total energy prognostic variable, plus mass-flux type shallow convection.
- Surface Layer:
  - MM5 similarity (Zhang and Anthes, 1982), based on Monin-Obukhov with Carlson-Boland viscous sub-layer and standard similarity functions from look-up tables.
  - MYNN surface layer, Nakanishi and Niino PBL’s surface layer scheme.
  - TEMF surface layer, Total Energy-Mass Flux surface layer scheme.
- Longwave radiation: RRTM scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997).
- Microphysics: WRF single-moment 6-class scheme (Hong and Lim, 2006).
- Cumulus: Betts–Miller–Janjic scheme (Janjic, 1994).
- Land surface: Noah land surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001).

#### a) Evaluation of near-surface variables

Model-simulated winds, humidity and shortwave flux on the sea surface are validated against satellite observations. Results are presented for the six sets of physical parameterizations (see Table 2.2).

Figure 2.5 shows the mean sea surface wind for the year 2008 from QuikSCAT and the WRF sensitivity experiments. All WRF experiments reproduce the regional pattern of the surface winds in agreement with QuikSCAT observations. They show that winds off the Peru coast are strongest offshore between 6–20°S and blow predominantly from the northwest direction. They decrease in the nearshore region and are oriented alongshore. The WRF6 experiment shows a marked underestimation of the wind intensity almost everywhere. All the other WRF experiments show a wind speed positive bias nearshore off northern Chile and off the Peru coast (Fig. 2.6), showing that, the model does not reproduce properly the nearshore wind drop-off. It will be shown later (in section 4) that it is an issue partly related to the model horizontal resolution.

The model-simulated shortwave flux is examined. Note that surface meteorological variables and ocean surface dynamics are very sensitive to this variable. Figure 2.7 shows the mean net shortwave flux at the ocean surface for the year 2008 from ISCCP observations and WRF. Observations show two regions of minimum values of about 180-200 and






Fig. 2.5 Mean surface wind speed (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , colours) and direction (arrows) for the year 2008 from a) QuikSCAT satellite and b)-g) WRF sensitivity experiments.




Fig. 2.6 Mean wind speed bias (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) from WRF sensitivity experiments with respect to QuikSCAT observations for the year 2008.

$160\text{-}180 \text{ W m}^2$ , located around 600 km offshore northern Chile and off the coast, north of the equator, respectively. Both minimums are reproduced by WRF1, WRF2, WRF3, WRF4 sensitivity experiments. WRF3 shows a large positive bias everywhere, but WRF5 and WRF6 are worse, since they are not able to reproduce the regional shortwave flux pattern.

Simulated humidity was also evaluated. Figure 2.8 shows the mean water vapor mixing ratio for the year 2008 from OAFLUX observations and WRF sensitivity experiments. Observations show that humidity spatial distribution is almost zonally uniform with high values in the north and low values in the south of the domain. It shows values around  $9\text{-}11 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  off the coast of southern Peru and around  $11\text{-}15 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$  off the northern coast of Peru. All WRF sensitivity experiments reproduce relatively well the regional spatial distribution of humidity, but show the largest biases nearshore off southern Peru and northern Chile (Fig. 2.9). WRF1, WRF5 and WRF6 experiments show a strong positive bias almost everywhere in the model domain.

## b) Impact of schemes on vertical profiles

Vertical profiles of wind, temperature and humidity from WRF1 and WRF4 experiments were compared with the VOCALS-REx observations. Figure 2.10 shows cross-shore vertical sections at  $20^\circ\text{S}$  of the mean meridional and zonal wind and temperature of WRF1




Fig. 2.7 Mean net shortwave flux at ground surface for the year 2008 (in  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) from a) ISCCP observations and b)-g) WRF sensitivity experiments.




Fig. 2.8 Mean water vapor mixing ratio for the year 2008 (in  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) from a) OAFUX observations and b)-g) WRF sensitivity experiments.




Fig. 2.9 Mean water vapor mixing ratio bias (in  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) from WRF sensitivity experiments with respect to OAFLUX observations for the year 2008.

and WRF4 sensitivity experiments and VOCALS-REx observations between 28 October and 3 November 2008. Both WRF experiments reproduce the zonal and meridional vertical structure of the winds in agreement with observations. They show that meridional winds flow southward at high levels (above  $\sim 600$  hPa) and northward near the sea surface, with a maximum intensity offshore at  $\sim 900$  hPa in observations and  $\sim 950$  hPa in the WRF experiments. The meridional wind changes its direction at height of  $\sim 500$  hPa at  $85^\circ\text{W}$  both in model and observations. The height of the wind reversal decreases toward the shore reaching  $\sim 960$  hPa in observations and  $\sim 890$  hPa at  $72^\circ\text{W}$  in WRF. The vertical structure of temperature shows a temperature inversion between  $\sim 800$ - $950$  hPa both in observations and WRF (magenta contours in Figs. 2.10a, b, c). Zonal winds flow eastward at high levels (above  $\sim 700$  hPa) and westward near the sea surface. The height of the wind reversal decreases towards the coast, from  $\sim 700$  hPa at  $85^\circ\text{W}$  (both observations and WRF experiments) to  $\sim 950$  hPa in observations and  $\sim 880$  hPa in WRF experiments at  $73^\circ\text{W}$ .

Figure 2.11 shows the vertical profiles of mean potential temperature and humidity at  $20^\circ\text{S}, 85^\circ\text{W}$  from WRF1, WRF4 experiments and VOCALS-REx observations between 25 and 27 October 2008. Note that some additional profiles were also calculated for dates close to those of the measurements, which allow to obtain a representation of the high frequency variation of the simulated profile. Vertical profiles of potential temperature are

well reproduced by both WRF experiments near surface and in upper layers. On the other hand, the vertical profile of specific humidity is slightly better reproduced by WRF4, but it is significantly underestimated by WRF1 between 870 and 920 hPa.




Fig. 2.10 Cross-shore vertical sections at 20°S of the mean meridional wind (shading, in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and air temperature (magenta contours, in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) from a)-b) WRF1 and WRF4 sensitivity experiments and c) VOCALS-REx observations, and zonal meridional wind (shading, in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) from d)-e) WRF1 and WRF4 sensitivity experiments and f) VOCALS-REx observations. Data averaged between 28 October and 3 November 2008. Black contours indicate zero meridional wind speed.




Fig. 2.11 Vertical profiles at  $20^{\circ}\text{S}, 85^{\circ}\text{W}$  of a)-b) Potential temperature and c)-d) Specific humidity from WRF1 and WRF2 sensitivity experiments and VOCALS-REx observations. Dashed, red and magenta lines correspond respectively to mean profiles between 22-24, 25-27, and 28-30 October 2008 for WRF sensitivity experiments. Green lines correspond to VOCALS-REx observations mean profiles between 25-27 October 2008.

The analysis carried out in this subsection indicates that the set of physical parameterizations of WRF4 (see Table 2.2) generates better results compared to the other sets of parameterizations, thus this set is used in the experiments hereafter.

### 2.3.2 Sensitivity to the domain configuration and to the lateral boundary conditions

As a second step, the small domain in Fig 2.4 was nested inside a bigger domain (see Fig. 2.12) to investigate the model sensitivity to the nested domain configuration. Note that the large domain has a resolution of  $0.75^{\circ}$  and encompasses the South East Pacific and the main part of South America. The number of vertical levels was set to 60 in both domains, defining 21 levels in the first 1000 m, which allows to better represent the complex topography of the Andes mountain.

In order to assess the model sensitivity to the lateral boundary conditions, we forced our simulation by two different databases: the ERA-Interim and CFSR reanalysis. Simulations were done for the period 1997-1998.




Fig. 2.12 WRF domains used to evaluate the sensitivity to nested domain configuration and to the lateral boundary conditions

Figure 2.13 shows the mean surface wind for the period 1997-1998 from WRF simulations with three different configurations. The first of them was configured using a single domain (in Fig. 2.4) and forced by the ERA-Interim reanalysis. The second was configured using the nested domains configuration (in Fig. 2.12) and also forced by the ERA-Interim reanalysis. And the third was also configured using the nested domains but forced by the CFSR reanalysis. In the inner part of the domain, the simulated wind spatial pattern is almost the same for the single and nested configurations. There are major differences in the offshore part of the domain, mainly near its western border. Differences between simulations with different lateral boundary conditions (ERA-Interim and CFSR) are relatively small. Similar differences are observed at high levels in wind and air temperature (Fig. 2.14), that is, small differences in the inner part and major differences in the outer part.

These analyses show that a nested domain can be useful to obtain clean solutions (without lateral boundary effects) of the model around the border of its domain. Moreover, it should be useful in a future work when the WRF atmospheric fields produced in our simulations will be used to force a regional oceanographic model. On the other hand, the sensitivity of model results to the change of the database used to build the lateral boundary conditions is weak, especially in the nearshore region. Thus, the nested domain configuration was used in our simulations hereafter.

### 2.3.3 WRF code modifications

A set of modifications to the WRF code was performed (following Oerder (2016)) in order to add some capacities to the model : (1) to compute the turbulence shear stress (2) to extract the individual horizontal momentum tendency terms and (3) to record the mean cumulative of the momentum terms.






Fig. 2.13 Mean surface wind speed (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and direction (arrows) for the period 1997-1998 from WRF simulations with three different configurations: a) Single domain forced with ERA-Interim data, b) Nested domain forced with ERA-Interim data, and c) Nested domain forced with CFSR data.

#### a) Computing the turbulence shear stress

In the PBL scheme used in our simulations (i.e MYNN), momentum mixing is parameterized by a Reynolds turbulent stress ( $\vec{\tau}$ ), which is defined as:

$$\vec{\tau} = \rho K_M \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z}$$

with  $\rho$  the air density,  $K_M$  the momentum vertical diffusion coefficient, and  $\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z}$  the vertical shear of horizontal velocity.

It is not calculated by default by the WRF model. For this reason, functions of the MYNN PBL scheme were modified to record the values of the momentum vertical diffusion coefficient ( $K_M$ ). Then, the  $\vec{\tau}$  term was calculated and recorded in the WRF outputs.




Fig. 2.14 Cross-shore vertical sections at  $15^\circ\text{S}$  of the mean meridional wind (shading, in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and air temperature (magenta contours, in  $^\circ\text{C}$ ) from three WRF simulations with different configurations (same as Fig. 2.13).

### b) Extracting horizontal momentum tendency terms

Wind horizontal velocity time variations are driven by the following momentum balance:

$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} = (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\vec{\tau}}{\rho} \right) - f \hat{k} \times \vec{v} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P \quad (2.8)$$

with  $f$  the Coriolis parameter,  $P$  the pressure and  $\hat{k}$  the vertical unitary vector. The right-hand terms of equation represent the wind advection, the effect of turbulent vertical mixing of momentum, the Coriolis and the pressure gradient forces, respectively.

The standard WRF configuration only allows the user to record the output of total zonal and meridional components of horizontal momentum tendency (first term in equation (2.8)), which are recorded over each user-defined large time step. However, additional variables had to be introduced within the dynamical solver to record the output of the others momentum terms (the right-hand terms of equation (2.8)) to make them available for history output.

### c) Recording the mean cumulative

A simple time average of the momentum equation (2.8) relates the forcing time average to the difference between the final and initial wind but not to the average wind  $\langle V \rangle$ . They showed that  $\langle V \rangle$  is related to the momentum terms by the following formula:

$$\frac{\langle V \rangle - V(t_o)}{\Delta t} = \sum_{F_n \in \text{forces}} [F_n]$$

where  $V(t_o)$  is the initial velocity at the beginning of the time interval,  $\Delta t$  the time step, and  $F_n$  the momentum terms. The bracket ( $\langle \rangle$ ) is the double time averaging operator defined as:

$$[F_n] = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{p=0}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^p F_n \right)$$

with  $N$  the number of time steps during the time interval.

For this reason, the WRF code was modified to record the double time average of each momentum terms at each model time step, following [Oerder et al. \(2016\)](#).

### 2.3.4 Model run optimization

The simulations were mainly performed on the supercomputer Curie from the GENCI at the CEA (projects x2014011140, x2015011140 and x2016011140) in France and some of them on the cluster of the Institute of the Sea of Peru (IMARPE). Curie machine has 10080 eight-core processors, Intel® Xeon® Next Generation (2.7 GHz), total of 80640 cores, for MPI parallel codes. The IMARPE cluster has 12 BLADE DELL servers (Xeon E5-2680v3 2.5GHz), total of 288 Cores. Both of them use the Linux operating system.

The WRF model was built to run on both machines utilizing MPI Intel compilers version 14. After doing tests, we chose 200 processors to execute the WRF model, which guarantees optimal use of the computational resources (see graph 2.15). Each year of simulation requires approximately 6000 hours of time computing.




Fig. 2.15 Execution time of the WRF model (1 month of simulation) when varying the number of CPU cores on the IMARPE cluster.



# Chapter 3

## Coastal winds dynamics during El Niño events

### 3.1 Introduction

The Peruvian upwelling system is strongly impacted by El Niño events (see chapter 1). During the so-called “canonical” El Niño events, there is an apparent contradiction between the coastal SST warm anomalies and the coastal wind intensification off the Peru coast (Kessler, 2006). The purpose of this chapter is to study this apparent paradox, using the WRF regional atmospheric model to simulate the surface winds response to El Niño SST anomalies. The specific aims are:

- to study the physical mechanisms responsible for the intensification of alongshore coastal winds in the Peruvian upwelling system during the 1997-1998 El Niño event by analysing the momentum budget of the atmospheric boundary layer.
- to study the roles of the large scale signal and SST local forcing on the alongshore wind anomalies in order to disentangle the main drivers of wind intensification during the 1997-1998 event.

The interpretation is extended to other El Niño conditions during the 1979-2016 period using data from Reanalysis.

### 3.2 Summary

The WRF regional atmospheric model was used to study the physical processes driving the wind intensification off the Peru coast during the intense 1997-1998 El Niño event. As anomalously warm waters accumulated near the coast, the equatorward coastal wind increased by  $\sim 1-1.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  during 5-6 months (up to  $\sim 40\%$  increase with respect to the climatological mean over the 1994-2000 period) as observed by the ERS satellite.

A simulation performed for the period 1994-2000 reproduced the coastal wind response to local sea surface temperature (SST) forcing and large scale atmospheric conditions during El Niño 1997-1998. The model, evaluated against satellite data, represented well the intensity, seasonal and interannual variability of alongshore winds. However, the wind

decrease towards the coast (the so-called wind drop-off; Capet et al. (2004)) was poorly simulated, especially between 10–16°S.

A momentum balance analysis showed that the coastal wind intensification was mainly driven by the enhancement of the alongshore pressure gradient. Vertical mixing tended to counterbalance the alongshore pressure gradient, leading to a quasi-equilibrium between the alongshore pressure gradient and the frictional force. Moreover, a quasi-linear relation between surface wind and pressure gradient anomalies was found. Our results are consistent with previous modeling studies in the region (Muñoz and Garreaud, 2005; Belmadani et al., 2014).

The enhancement of the alongshore pressure gradient occurred because the atmospheric pressure decreased more north ( $\sim 6^\circ\text{S}$ ) than south ( $14^\circ\text{S}$ ), in association with the larger increase of SST, air temperature and humidity off northern Peru (i.e. associated with the inhomogeneous warming along the coast during El Niño event).

Surface warming induced an increase of the height of the PBL of up to two and a half times and of the vertical turbulent mixing coefficient ( $K_z = \tau/(\partial V/\partial z)$ ) of up to three times their values in mean climatological conditions. However vertical mixing of momentum ( $\partial\tau/\partial z$ ) remained negative and was stronger (in absolute value) during El Niño than in mean climatological conditions. Thus, vertical profiles of wind, mixing coefficient, and momentum trends showed that the surface wind intensification was not caused by the increase of turbulence in the planetary boundary layer. Moreover, the temperature inversion in the vertical mitigated the development of pressure gradient due to air convection during part of the event. We concluded that it is likely that two conditions, a SST anomaly alongshore gradient and a weak (or absent) temperature vertical inversion, would be necessary to drive a strong wind anomaly in the coastal region. The SST gradient drives the pressure gradient, and the weak temperature inversion allows shallow convection to develop without activate any “back-pressure effect” (a mechanism compensating the surface pressure gradient; Hashizume et al. (2002)).

In addition, two sensitivity experiments were done to isolate the respective impacts of the large scale atmospheric signal (called BRY-EN experiment, forced by 1997-1998 El Niño boundary conditions and neutral SST forcing from the years 1994-1995) and of the SST local forcing (called SST-EN experiment, forced by El Niño SST forcing and 1994-1995 neutral boundary conditions) on the coastal wind intensification. Analysis of simulations show that the wind intensification was primarily driven by the local SST forcing whereas large scale variability associated with the South Pacific Anticyclone modulated its effects.

Due to the model computational cost, the simulations were performed for a relatively short time period (1994-2000) including only one El Niño event. In order to evaluate if the same dynamical processes were active during other El Niño events, we performed similar diagnostics using the ERA-Interim reanalysis data of  $0.75^\circ$  ( $\sim 80\text{km}$ ) over the period 1979-2016. They confirmed that intensifications of alongshore wind off Peru were associated with SST alongshore gradient anomalies, as during the 1997-1998 event.

### 3.3 Article published in *Climate Dynamics*

Our results are detailed in the following article.

Reference: Chamorro A, Echevin V, Colas F, Oerder V, Tam J, Quispe-Ccalluari C (2018) Mechanisms of the intensification of the upwelling-favorable winds during El Niño 1997–1998 in the Peruvian upwelling system. *Climate Dynamics* 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4106-6>



[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **Mechanisms of the intensification of the upwelling-favorable winds during El**  
2 **Niño 1997-1998 in the Peruvian Upwelling System**

3

4 Adolfo Chamorro (1,2), Vincent Echevin (2), Francois Colas (2), Vera Oerder (2,3), Jorge  
5 Tam (1), Carlos Quispe-Ccalluari (1)

6

7 email: achamorro@imarpe.gob.pe

8

9 (1) Instituto del Mar del Perú (IMARPE), Callao, Perú

10 (2) LOCEAN-IPSL, IRD/CNRS/MNHN/UPMC, UMR7159, Paris, France

11 (3) Instituto Milenio de Oceanografía (IMO-Chile), Universidad de Concepción,  
12 Concepción, Chile

13

14 **Abstract.** The physical processes driving the coastal wind intensification off the Peru  
15 coast during the intense 1997-1998 El Niño (EN) event were studied using a regional  
16 atmospheric model. A simulation performed for the period 1994-2000 reproduced the  
17 coastal wind response to local sea surface temperature (SST) forcing and large scale  
18 atmospheric conditions. Simulated winds, evaluated with satellite data, captured well the  
19 wind intensity, alongshore and intraseasonal variability. An alongshore momentum  
20 budget showed that the pressure gradient was the dominant force driving the surface  
21 wind acceleration. The pressure gradient tended to accelerate the coastal wind, while  
22 turbulent vertical mixing decelerated it. A quasi-linear relation between surface wind and  
23 pressure gradient anomalies was found. Alongshore pressure gradient anomalies were  
24 caused by a greater increase in near-surface air temperature off the northern coast than  
25 off the southern coast, associated to the inhomogeneous SST warming. Vertical profiles of  
26 wind, mixing coefficient, and momentum trends showed that the surface wind  
27 intensification was not caused by the increase of turbulence in the planetary boundary  
28 layer. Moreover, the temperature inversion in the vertical mitigated the development of  
29 pressure gradient due to air convection during part of the event. Sensitivity experiments



30 allowed to isolate the respective impacts of the local SST forcing and large scale condition  
31 on the coastal wind intensification. It was primarily driven by the local SST forcing  
32 whereas large scale variability associated with the South Pacific Anticyclone modulated its  
33 effects. Examination of other EN events using reanalysis data confirmed that  
34 intensifications of alongshore wind off Peru were associated with SST alongshore gradient  
35 anomalies, as during the 1997-1998 event.

36

### 37 **Keywords**

38 Ocean-atmosphere interactions; Coastal winds; El Niño 1997/1998; Peruvian Upwelling  
39 System

40

### 41 **1. Introduction**

42 The Peruvian Upwelling System is one of the major upwelling systems of the world in  
43 terms of fisheries (Zuta and Guillen 1970; Chavez et al. 2008). A key oceanic process in  
44 this nearshore marine environment is the upwelling of deep, nutrient-replete, cold water  
45 to the surface forced by Ekman divergence associated to predominantly equatorward  
46 coastal winds. As in other upwelling regions, it is characterized by an intense biological  
47 productivity (Chavez & Messié, 2009) and strong air-sea interactions (e.g. Halpern, 2002;  
48 Small et al., 2008; Boé et al., 2011; Oerder et al., 2016). A unique aspect of the Peruvian  
49 system is its proximity to the equator in the Eastern Pacific, which places it directly under  
50 the influence of El Niño events (EN hereafter). During so-called “canonical” EN events,  
51 warm surface waters accumulate in the Eastern Tropical Pacific off the Ecuador and Peru  
52 coasts (e.g. Picaut et al., 2002) causing a dramatic reduction of the upwelling of cold  
53 water (e.g. Colas et al. 2008). The upwelling reduction is somewhat mitigated by an  
54 increase of the equatorward coastal wind (Wyrtki, 1975; Kessler, 2006). This local wind  
55 increase is seemingly paradoxical since large-scale trade winds are weakened in the  
56 equatorial (Bjerknes, 1966) and subtropical (Rahn et al., 2012) regions. Figure 1a shows  
57 that mean spatial distribution of the wind anomalies off Peru coast during the strongest El  
58 Niño event observed to date, between November 1997 and February 1998. Positive wind

59 anomalies were maximum along the Peru coasts and decreased offshore. Negative wind  
60 anomalies at the equator indicate the weakening of the southerly trade winds in the  
61 equatorial Pacific. The strongest alongshore positive wind anomalies reached  $\sim 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$   
62 during November 1997-February 1998 which represents a  $\sim 40\%$  increase with respect to  
63 the climatological mean (Figure 1b).

64

65 The processes that drive the nearshore wind increase during EN have not been studied in  
66 detail. Previous studies suggested that the wind intensification could be driven by a  
67 strengthening of the cross-shore pressure gradient (supporting a geostrophic wind) owing  
68 to an enhanced cross-shore thermal contrast between land and sea (Bakun, 1990; Bakun  
69 et al., 2010). This enhanced thermal contrast would be caused by a stronger temperature  
70 increase over land than over sea during EN, due to the greenhouse effect induced by  
71 moist air. On the other hand, Enfield (1981) suggested that the enhanced cross-shore  
72 thermal contrast during EN was forced by a stronger shortwave heating over land  
73 associated to a reduction of nearshore cloudiness. Other studies suggested that the wind  
74 intensification may be driven by the strengthening of the alongshore pressure gradient  
75 associated with the alongshore SST gradient which appears off the Peru coasts during EN  
76 (Kessler, 2006), but no demonstration was made. In addition, the enhanced atmospheric  
77 turbulence due to the surface ocean warming may result in the downward vertical mixing  
78 of momentum from the upper layers of the atmosphere to the ocean surface, thus  
79 increasing the surface wind (e.g. Wallace et al., 1989).

80

81 Large scale atmospheric circulation is also impacted by EN, which may affect coastal  
82 winds through modifications of the South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA). Dewitte et al. (2011)  
83 showed that the alongshore wind intraseasonal (*i.e.* 10-60 days band) variability off  
84 central Peru ( $\sim 15^\circ\text{S}$ ) was forced by migratory disturbances across the SPA. Rahn et al.  
85 (2012) showed that the SPA was weaker during EN, resulting in decreasing winds off  
86 central Chile. Such weakening might mitigate the coastal wind increase during EN off  
87 Peru, and a poleward displacement of the SPA might have a similar effect, as shown by

88 Belmadani et al. (2014) in the context of climate change. However, the influence of large-  
89 scale atmospheric circulation interannual variability on the coastal winds off Peru remains  
90 to be extensively investigated.

91

92 In this paper, a regional atmospheric model forced by realistic oceanic (i.e. Sea Surface  
93 Temperature) and lateral boundary conditions was used to investigate the physical  
94 processes driving the coastal wind intensification during the strong 1997-1998, “eastern  
95 pacific” El Niño event. The respective roles of the large scale signal and SST local forcing  
96 on the alongshore wind anomalies were also studied for this particular event. Data and  
97 methods are described in section 2. Results are presented in section 3, and discussion  
98 and conclusions are given in section 4.

99

## 100 **2. Data and Methods**

### 101 **2.1 Regional atmospheric model**

102 The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.3.1 (Skamarock and Klemp  
103 2008) was used to simulate the coastal wind during the period 1994-2000, which includes  
104 the very strong 1997-1998 El Niño event (McPhaden, 1999). WRF is a fully compressible  
105 and nonhydrostatic model. Its vertical coordinate is a terrain-following hydrostatic  
106 pressure coordinate. The model uses a Runge-Kutta 3rd order time integration scheme,  
107 and 5th order upwind biased advection schemes in space. Two nested domains, with one-  
108 way offline nesting, were used (Fig.2). The large domain has a resolution of  $0.75^\circ$  and  
109 encompasses the South East Pacific and the main part of South America. The small  
110 domain has a resolution of  $0.25^\circ$  and covers the Peru and Northern Chile region ( $30^\circ\text{S}$ -  
111  $12^\circ\text{N}$ ). Both domains include the Andes. The relatively high resolution of the nested  
112 domain allows to better represent the orography (Fig. 2), a crucial element of the regional  
113 dynamics (e.g. Xue et al. 2004). Both grids have 60 vertical sigma levels between the  
114 surface and the top of the atmosphere (defined by the 50 hPa top pressure), with 21  
115 levels in the first  $\sim 1000$  m. The time steps for the large and nested domains are 180 s  
116 and 60 s, respectively.

117

118 The parameterizations for short and long wave radiation, cloud physics, land surface and  
119 planetary boundary layer (PBL) used in this study are listed in Table 1. Most of them  
120 (except the Dudhia (1989) shortwave radiation scheme) are identical to those in the 1/12°  
121 configuration of Oerder et al. (2016) for the Peru-northern Chile region.

122 Data from the ERA-interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) were used as initial and boundary  
123 conditions. The daily Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) at 0.25°  
124 (Reynolds et al. 2007) was used as SST forcing. WRF outputs were recorded every 6 hours  
125 using instantaneous and average values.

126

## 127 **2.2 Observational data**

128 Observations from two different satellite-borne scatterometers were used to evaluate the  
129 realism of the model surface winds: the ERS weekly wind fields at 1°x1° resolution over  
130 the period 1992-2000 and a monthly climatology of QuikSCAT wind fields (hereafter  
131 QSCAT) at 1/2°x1/2° resolution grids. The two products were processed by CERSAT  
132 (2002a,b) and downloaded from [www.ifremer.fr/cersat](http://www.ifremer.fr/cersat). Surface winds were interpolated  
133 on the 1/4° model grid.

134

135 Daily SST and output from ERA-interim reanalysis (see above) were also used in the  
136 analysis.

137

## 138 **2.3 Monthly momentum budget**

139 In order to investigate the dominant forces that induce the monthly wind changes, we  
140 used the following relation demonstrated in Madec (2008; for the NEMO ocean model)  
141 and Oerder et al. (2016):

$$142 \quad \frac{\langle V \rangle - V(0)}{\Delta t} = \sum_{F_n \in \text{forces}} [F_n] \quad (1)$$

143 where  $\langle V \rangle$  is the monthly mean wind,  $V(0)$  the initial state,  $\Delta t$  the time step, and  $F_n$

144 the momentum terms: advection  $(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{v}$ , vertical mixing  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\vec{\tau}}{\rho}\right)$ , Coriolis  $-f\vec{k} \times \vec{v}$  and  
 145 pressure gradient  $-\frac{1}{\rho}\vec{\nabla}P$ . The bracket  $\langle \rangle$  is a the double time averaging operator  
 146 defined as:

$$147 \quad \langle F_n \rangle = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{p=0}^N \left( \sum_{k=1}^p F_n \right) \quad (2)$$

148 with N the number of time steps during one month.  
 149 Based on Eq. (1), we obtain the following relation:

$$150 \quad \langle V \rangle_{M+1} - \langle V \rangle_M = \sum_{F_n \in \text{forces}} \left( \Delta t [F_n]_{M+1} - \Delta t [F_n]_M + \sum_t \Delta t F_n \right) \quad (3)$$

151 The left side of the equation represents the change of the monthly mean wind (from  
 152 month M to month M+1) and the right side represents the sum of the forces contribution.  
 153 We computed the anomalies of the alongshore (*i.e.* parallel to the WRF model smoothed  
 154 coastline) component of Eq. (3) (with respect to the climatology over 1994-2000) in a  
 155 coastal band of one degree width (4 grid points of the nested domain).

156

## 157 **2.4 Virtual temperature**

158 Virtual temperature is computed in order to estimate the relative contribution of humidity  
 159 to air density and pressure during EN. The virtual temperature of moist air is the  
 160 temperature that dry air should have to reach a total pressure and density equal to those  
 161 of the moist air (Wallace and Hobbs, 2006). It is defined by:

$$162 \quad T_v = T * (1 + 0.61 * Q_v) \quad (4)$$

163 with T the air temperature and  $Q_v$  the mixing ratio, which describes humidity.

164 We computed the monthly virtual temperature anomaly as:

$$165 \quad T_v' = (1 + 0.61 * \overline{Q_v}) * T' + (\overline{T} * 0.61) * Q_v' \quad (5)$$

166 with primes marking the monthly anomalies and overlines marking the monthly means.  
 167 The two terms on the right side of Eq. (5) represent the relative contribution of air

168 temperature and humidity anomalies to the virtual temperature anomaly. Given that  
169 virtual temperature is directly proportional to the pressure (for a fixed mass of gas, at a  
170 constant volume), these terms contribute to the atmospheric pressure.

171

## 172 **2.5 Model simulations**

173

174 We carried out three experiments with the WRF model. First, a control run was performed  
175 over the 1994-2000 period. Then, two experiments (BRY-EN and SST-EN ) were performed  
176 to isolate the role of the large scale signal from the role of the SST local forcing. The BRY-  
177 EN experiment was carried out using the 6-hourly atmospheric boundary conditions from  
178 the years 1997-1998 (Niño boundary conditions) and daily SST forcing from the years  
179 1994-1995 (so-called SST neutral conditions) to isolate the role of the large scale signal.  
180 The SST-EN experiment was performed using the 6-hourly atmospheric boundary  
181 conditions from the years 1994-1995 (normal boundary conditions) and daily SST forcing  
182 from the years 1997-1998 (SST Niño conditions) to isolate the role of the SST local  
183 forcing. Note that the 1994-1995 period is considered as “normal conditions” as it did not  
184 present strong anomalies with respect to climatological conditions.

185

## 186 **3. Results**

### 187 **3.1 Validation**

#### 188 3.1.1 Mean and annual cycle of the surface wind

189 The simulated surface winds were compared with ERS and QSCAT observations. Figures 3  
190 a-c show the annual mean surface wind field obtained from both satellites and the model  
191 for the year 2000, when the two satellite observation periods were overlapping. South of  
192 the equatorial line, the observed surface winds were strong ( $\sim 6-8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) and they blowed  
193 north-westward over the oceanic region. They were weaker and approximately parallel to  
194 the coastline in the nearshore region, with a maximum ( $\sim 5-6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) near  $15^\circ\text{S}$  and  
195 minimum ( $\sim 3-4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) near  $18^\circ\text{S}$  (Figs. 3a,c). The model reproduced the observed wind  
196 spatial patterns, with a wind intensity closest to that of QSCAT. However, the wind drop-

197 off (*i.e.* wind decrease towards the coast, *e.g.* Capet et al., 2004) was poorly simulated,  
198 especially between 10°S and 16°S. Note that wind speed was lower in ERS than QSCAT  
199 by  $\sim 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  over a large part of the model domain, but that the wind directions were  
200 consistent. The differences in wind intensity between ERS and QSCAT are attributed to  
201 the use of different operating frequencies, different temporal sampling of the satellites,  
202 and gridded products with different spatial resolutions (Bentamy et al. 2013).

203

204 Figures 3 d-f show the climatological mean annual cycle of the alongshore wind near the  
205 Peru coast. The seasonal cycles were computed over the same time period for ERS and  
206 the model (1994-2000), but different time period for QSCAT (2000-2008). The observed  
207 alongshore winds were strongest during austral winter (July-September) and early spring  
208 (October) around 15°S. The model wind climatology was in relatively good agreement  
209 with the satellite wind climatology (with correlations  $> 0.85$ ). It reproduced the local  
210 maximum values (coastal jets) near 4°S and 15°S which are both seen in QSCAT but not in  
211 ERS. ERS did not capture the local maximum at 4°S likely due to its lower spatial  
212 resolution. The model overestimated the intensity of the alongshore wind with respect to  
213 ERS and QSCAT between 3°S and 17°S, and slightly underestimated it with respect to  
214 QSCAT in the equatorial region (0-2°S).

215

### 216 3.1.2 Wind and temperature cross-shore vertical structures

217 The meridional wind and temperature cross-shore vertical structures in the central Peru  
218 (at  $\sim 15^\circ\text{S}$ ) simulated by the model were compared against the ERA-interim reanalysis  
219 data (Figure 4). Reanalysis data showed the coastal jet core located a height of  $\sim 250 \text{ m}$   
220 and within the first 100 km from the coast, with a wind intensity of  $\sim 8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (Fig.4a). The  
221 coastal jet is capped by a temperature inversion, which bottom is located at  $\sim 600 \text{ m}$   
222 above sea level nearshore. The model reproduced relatively well the intensity of the  
223 coastal jet core, although with a position much closer to the coast. In overall, the vertical  
224 structures of wind and temperature were well reproduced by the model in the first  $\sim 1000$   
225 m. Note that the steep topography of the Andes is logically represented with greater

226 detail in the WRF model (Fig. 4b).

227

### 228 3.1.3 Surface winds and SST anomalies during El Niño

229 The model reproduced the spatial structure of the wind anomalies off Peru (north of  
230  $\sim 20^\circ\text{S}$ ) during November 1997-February 1998 (Fig. 5a) found in the satellite observations  
231 (Fig. 1b). Persistent positive (equatorward) alongshore wind anomalies occurred from April  
232 1997 to October 1998 (Fig. 5b) in the  $5^\circ\text{S}$ - $10^\circ\text{S}$  latitude band. There was a short  
233 relaxation period in August 1997 with weak negative anomalies south of  $10^\circ\text{S}$ . The  
234 strongest positive anomalies ( $>1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) occurred between November 1997 and March  
235 1998. Furthermore, strong negative anomalies ( $<-1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) occurred in December 1997 -  
236 February 1998 north of  $5^\circ\text{S}$ . The general pattern of alongshore wind anomalies from the  
237 model was consistent with that from ERS (Fig. 1b). However, some discrepancies were  
238 found, such as an overestimation of modelled wind anomalies in May-June 1997 (a  
239 nucleus of positive anomalies of  $\sim 1 \text{ m/s}$  in WRF vs none in ERS) and an underestimation  
240 in January 1997 (a nucleus with negative anomalies of  $\sim -1 \text{ m/s}$  in ERS vs none in WRF).  
241 Strong SST positive anomalies ( $>3^\circ\text{C}$ ) were seen between May 1997 and May 1998 along  
242 the equator in the Eastern Pacific and along the Peru coast (Fig. 5c), with two main peaks  
243 ( $>4^\circ\text{C}$ ) between  $5^\circ\text{S}$  and  $10^\circ\text{S}$  in July-August 1997 and November 1997-April 1998 (Fig.  
244 5d). These peaks were related to the poleward propagation of downwelling coastal-  
245 trapped waves, which strongly deepened the thermocline during EN, shutting down the  
246 upwelling of cold water (Colas et al., 2008). The amplitude of the SST anomalies slightly  
247 reduced in September-October 1997 but remained quite strong ( $>3^\circ\text{C}$ ). Note that  
248 although the two SST anomaly peaks were of a relatively similar amplitude, only the  
249 second peak was synchronous with strong wind anomalies ( $> 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , Fig. 5a) during  
250 November 97-April 98, whereas the wind response to the first peak in July-August 1997  
251 was weaker ( $<1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in the model and less than  $0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in ERS; Fig. 1b). Besides, the  
252 model also reproduced the negative wind anomalies north of  $5^\circ\text{S}$  between November  
253 1997 and April 1998, a period during which SST anomalies were positive.

254



### 255 **3.2 Alongshore momentum budget**

256 In order to investigate the forces that induced the wind anomalies off Peru coast during  
257 EN, we computed the anomalies of the forces involved in the meridional monthly  
258 momentum budget (Eq. 3) in the first layer of the model. Each term was averaged for the  
259 period November 1997-February 1998 (Fig. 6a-d).

260

261 Comparison of anomalies of advection, vertical shear of the meridional turbulent stress  
262 (hereafter named vertical mixing term), pressure gradient and Coriolis terms shows that  
263 pressure gradient and vertical mixing were the dominant forces (Figs. 6b,c). The pressure  
264 gradient anomaly was positive (Fig. 6c), thus accelerated the equatorward wind during  
265 EN. Expectedly, vertical mixing anomaly was opposed to the equatorward wind thus  
266 negative (Fig. 6b). The two terms almost balanced each other everywhere in the domain,  
267 except in the region between 0-5°S where advection anomaly was relatively strong (Fig.  
268 6a) and pressure gradient and vertical mixing anomalies were much weaker. Coriolis term  
269 anomalies had much smaller values (Fig. 6d).

270

271 Figure 6e shows the time evolution of the monthly anomalies of the surface forces  
272 projected alongshore. The terms were averaged in a one-degree-wide coastal band  
273 between 7°S and 15°S (this coastal region was chosen because the coastline is relatively  
274 rectilinear and the wind anomalies were relatively homogeneous in space). The pressure  
275 gradient and vertical mixing were the main forces during the entire time period. The  
276 dates corresponding to pressure gradient maximum (positive anomalies) values coincided  
277 with those of vertical mixing minimum (negative anomalies) values (e.g. in June-July and  
278 November-December 1997 and April-May 1998). The advection term was weaker, except  
279 in July-September and October-November 1997. The contribution of the Coriolis force was  
280 negligible during the entire period. The tendency was almost equal to the sum of all  
281 terms (error was ~6% over the simulation period), showing that the budget (Eq. (3)) is  
282 virtually closed.

283

284 The wind acceleration during EN occurred during different phases (Fig. 6e, dashed-black  
285 line). It began in March 1997 ( $\Delta V' \sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  from March to April 1997) and was maintained  
286 until June 1997, due to a positive equatorward pressure force stronger than the sum of  
287 the other (negative) terms. The wind anomaly then strongly decreased ( $\Delta V' \sim -1.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$   
288 from June to July 1997) due to a negative advection of momentum between June and  
289 August 1997, which was related to the large scale forcing. A similar momentum budget  
290 for the BRY-EN experiment confirmed this large scale modulation (figure not shown). The  
291 wind acceleration became strong again in September 1997 ( $\Delta V' \sim 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  from  
292 September to October), when advection weakened and the pressure gradient dominated  
293 the other forces. The tendency was weak from January to May 1998. In the later phase of  
294 EN, the wind anomaly was strongly reduced from May to July 1998, due to a decrease of  
295 the pressure gradient.

296

297 Consistently with previous studies (Muñoz and Garreaud, 2005; Belmadani et al., 2014),  
298 alongshore pressure gradient anomalies were highly correlated (0.8) with alongshore  
299 wind anomalies average over the coastal band (Fig. 6e), and along the coast between  $4^\circ\text{S}$   
300 and  $18^\circ\text{S}$  (Fig. 7a). A strong correlation (around 0.75) between alongshore pressure  
301 gradient anomalies and alongshore wind anomalies was found for latitudes between  $4^\circ\text{S}$   
302 and  $18^\circ\text{S}$  (Fig. 7b). In this sense, on average over a coastal band ( $7^\circ\text{S}$ - $15^\circ\text{S}$ )  $\sim 64\%$  of the  
303 temporal variability of the wind anomalies was explained by pressure gradient anomalies,  
304 suggesting that vertical mixing behaved like a linear bottom frictional term equilibrating

305 the pressure gradient. This led to the following relation  $V = \frac{-1}{c\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}$  with  $c$  the linear  
306 friction coefficient and  $\rho$  the surface air density. From our model results,  $c$  was  $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$   
307  $^1$ , thus comparable to Muñoz and Garreaud (2005)'s estimation for the Chile central coast  
308 ( $c \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). The steep orography of the Andes precluded the development of an  
309 anomalous cross shore flow, thus the alongshore pressure gradient can not be  
310 equilibrated by the Coriolis force. Consequently the alongshore flow built up until the

311 frictional force (i.e. vertical mixing term) balanced the alongshore pressure gradient.

312

313 In summary, the pressure gradient term played a major role in initiating and terminating  
314 the wind anomaly during this EN event. In the next sections we study in detail the  
315 processes that drive the pressure gradient increase at the beginning of the event.

316

### 317 **3.3 Air temperature and humidity contributions to the alongshore pressure** 318 **gradient**

319 As surface pressure is related to virtual temperature in the air column (see Section 2.4),  
320 we examined the relative contributions of temperature and humidity anomalies to the  
321 virtual temperature anomalies (VTA) (see Eq.(5) in section 2.4) during EN (November  
322 1997-February 1998). The VTA distribution displayed the largest positive anomalies (>4  
323 °K) along the north coast between 4°S and 8°S and in the lowest 300 m (Fig. 8a).  
324 Temperature variations dominated VTA (Fig. 8b), while humidity anomalies contributed to  
325 at most ~15% of the VTA (north coast around 400 m, Fig.8c). The humidity anomaly was  
326 stronger at 400 m because humidity was higher and nearly constant from the surface up  
327 to ~400 m before decreasing progressively with altitude during EN, whereas it  
328 progressively decreased with altitude with a relatively constant vertical gradient from the  
329 surface to 900m under normal conditions (Fig. 8d). These results show that the stronger  
330 temperature increase in the north of Peru was the main driver of the alongshore pressure  
331 gradient anomaly during the EN event, while humidity did not play an important role.

332 This thermally driven pressure gradient was confirmed by the high correlation (0.84)  
333 between the surface wind anomaly in the coastal band and the alongshore SST gradient  
334 (Fig. 9). This correlation was slightly higher (0.87) with a 1 month lag (when the SST  
335 gradient leads the wind). This suggests that the anomalously warm surface ocean forced  
336 the low atmosphere by heating the air column more in the north than in the south, thus  
337 generating the pressure gradient that drove the equatorward wind anomaly.

338

339

### 340 **3.4 Downward mixing of momentum during EN**

341 Due to the air warming and humidification associated with the presence of anomalously  
342 warm surface waters in the nearshore region, shallow convection was enhanced. In the  
343 coastal band, the planetary boundary layer height (PBLH) increased by  $\sim 200$  m ( $\sim 50\%$ )  
344 around June 97 and by  $\sim 100$ - $150$  m ( $\sim 100\%$ ) between December 1997-March 1998 (Fig.  
345 10). The PBLH increases were in phase with the SST anomalies peaks, and slightly  
346 stronger in the north than in the south, in agreement with the SST spatial changes (not  
347 shown).

348

349 Given this PBL variability, a potential mechanism for the wind intensification could be  
350 associated with the increase of turbulence in the PBL during EN, which may generate a  
351 more efficient downward vertical flux of momentum (Wallace et al., 1989). However,  
352 turbulent mixing tended to decelerate the wind even more during the warm EN phases  
353 (Fig. 6e). To further investigate why Wallace et al. (1989)'s mechanism can not explain the  
354 EN wind increase, we examined the vertical profiles of alongshore wind, turbulent vertical  
355 mixing coefficient, momentum budget forces contribution, and temperature during  
356 climatological and EN conditions in November 1997-February 1998 (Fig. 11). The wind  
357 intensification during EN occurred between the sea surface and  $\sim 1600$  m (black line in  
358 Fig. 11a). The wind maximum ( $\sim 8$  m s<sup>-1</sup>) shifted from  $\sim 300$  m in normal conditions to  
359  $\sim 500$  m. There was a decrease of wind shear ( $dV/dz$ ) below  $\sim 500$  m during EN, mainly due  
360 to the velocity increase at the surface ( $\sim 20\%$ ) and a virtually unchanged velocity at  $300$   
361 m (black line in Fig. 11a). Turbulent vertical mixing coefficient ( $K_z$ ) increased almost  
362 twofold during EN reaching a maximum of  $\sim 40$  m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> at  $200$  m ( $\sim 15$  m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> in  
363 climatological conditions, blue line in Fig. 11a). The impact on the turbulent stress  
364 ( $\tau = K_z \cdot dV/dz$ ) of the wind shear decrease and turbulent vertical mixing ( $K_z$ ) increase was  
365 such that the momentum vertical mixing term ( $d\tau/dz$ ) reduced (*i.e.* more negative) during  
366 EN conditions (Fig. 6e; blue line in Fig. 11b). This also shows that the EN wind  
367 intensification near the surface was not driven by downward mixing of momentum. The  
368 pressure gradient (red line in Fig. 11b) increase was maximum at the surface and

369 decreased with height. The Coriolis and advection terms did not change much during EN  
370 (magenta and green lines on Fig. 11b). Note that the pressure gradient was strong at  
371 surface and in upper layers during EN. Air temperature decreased between the surface  
372 and 1600 m, showing no temperature inversion in this period (austral summer) in normal  
373 or EN conditions (Fig. 11c).

374 This time period is contrasted with the period July-August 1997, during which the surface  
375 wind anomalies were weak (Figs. 5a and 12a) in spite of SST anomalies of the same order  
376 ( $\sim 4^\circ\text{C}$ ) as during November 1997-April 1998 (Fig. 5b). Between the surface and 800 m  
377 the wind reduced with respect to normal conditions, and did not change in the upper  
378 layers (800-1600m). The wind shear decreased between the surface and 400m (black line  
379 in Fig. 12a), mainly due to the velocity decrease between 300-400 m ( $\sim 10\%$ ) and a  
380 virtually unchanged velocity at surface. Mixing coefficient ( $K_z$ ) increased by almost 70% in  
381 this period, reaching a maximum of  $\sim 74 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-1}$  at 250 m ( $\sim 45 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  in climatological  
382 conditions at 220 m, blue line in Fig. 12a). The impact on the turbulent stress of wind  
383 shear and vertical mixing changes in this period was such that the momentum vertical  
384 mixing term did not change significantly (blue line in fig 12b). The wind decrease below  
385  $\sim 600$  m was likely driven by advection, which decreased below 500 m whereas the  
386 pressure gradient change remained positive. On the other hand, the pressure gradient  
387 vertical shear between the surface and  $\sim 600$  m (Fig. 12b) was stronger than in November  
388 1997-February 1998 period (Fig. 11b). Note also the well-marked temperature inversion in  
389 July-August 1997 (Fig. 12c). The effect of this particular vertical structure on the pressure  
390 gradient will be discussed below (Sect. 4.2).

391

### 392 **3.5 Impacts of large scale atmospheric forcing and local SST forcing**

393 In this subsection, we analyzed model sensitivity experiments to study the respective  
394 roles of the large scale signal and of the SST local forcing in driving the EN wind  
395 anomalies.

396

397 Figure 13a displays the alongshore surface wind anomalies (averaged in the coastal band

398 between 7°S and 15°S) for the CTRL, BRY-EN, SST-EN experiments. The large scale signal  
399 (BRY-EN simulation) during EN induced strong negative wind anomalies ( $\sim -1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ )  
400 between March and September 1997, and moderate ( $<1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) positive anomalies  
401 between October 1997 and March 1998. In contrast, the model forced by the 1997-98 SST  
402 forcing (SST-EN) simulated persistent positive wind anomalies between May 97 and  
403 August 97 (reaching  $\sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in August 97) and between November 1997 and May 1998  
404 (peaking at  $\sim 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in January-February 98). There was a slightly negative anomaly in  
405 September 1997, which coincided with the slight decrease of SST anomaly in September-  
406 October 1997 (Fig. 5b). Note that the wind anomaly was negligible in CTRL in Jul-Aug  
407 1997, in spite of a strong SST anomaly ( $\sim 3\text{-}4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , Fig. 5b). This can be explained by the  
408 large scale signal, which forced a decrease of the equatorward wind (negative anomalies  
409 of  $\sim -1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in July-September 1997 in BRY-EN) which compensated the wind increase ( $\sim$   
410  $0.5\text{-}1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  in SST-EN) forced by the anomalously warm SST.

411

412 In the first warm period (July-August 1997), the intensification of the wind driven by the  
413 SST forcing (SST-EN experiment) was compensated by the large scale signal (BRY-EN) not  
414 only at the surface but also as high as 1400 m (Fig. 13b). During the second period  
415 (November 1997-February 1998), boundary conditions (BRY-EN simulation) did not have a  
416 strong effect. The wind was modified very little in the vertical with respect to  
417 climatological conditions. The wind intensification below 200 m was fully forced by the  
418 anomalous SST (Fig. 13c).

419

420 Thus, the BRY-EN experiment showed that the large-scale atmospheric signal propagating  
421 into the Peru region through the open boundaries could mitigate (or enhance) the coastal  
422 wind anomalies during EN. The SPA center was located around its normal position into  
423 both periods (red lines in Figs 14 a,b). However, during March-September 1997, the SPA  
424 was weaker ( $-1\text{-}2 \text{ hPa}$  anomaly) than in normal conditions (Fig.14a). This weakening  
425 should produce negative anomalies for the surface atmospheric pressure off Peru, which  
426 likely contributed to a decrease of the alongshore wind off Peru in the BRY-EN simulation.

427 Indeed, the maximum surface pressure in the SPA was well correlated (0.67) with BRY-EN  
428 wind anomalies during the EN period. In contrast with the March-September 1997 period,  
429 the SPA was slightly more intense in October 1997–March 1998 (Fig. 14b) and September  
430 98 (not shown), favoring an intensification of the coastal wind during these time periods  
431 due to SST forcing (Fig.13a).

432

#### 433 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

##### 434 **4.1 Summary**

435 A regional atmospheric model was used to investigate the physical processes driving the  
436 wind intensification off the Peru coast during the 1997-1998 EN event. As anomalously  
437 warm waters accumulated near the coast, the equatorward coastal wind increased by ~1-  
438 1.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> during 5-6 months (up to ~40 % increase with respect to the climatological  
439 mean over the 1994-2000 period). Simulated surface wind anomalies during EN were in  
440 good agreement with observed wind anomalies. A momentum balance analysis showed  
441 that the coastal wind intensification was mainly driven by the enhancement of the  
442 alongshore pressure gradient. Vertical mixing tended to counterbalance the alongshore  
443 pressure gradient, leading to a quasi-equilibrium between the alongshore pressure  
444 gradient and the frictional force, consistently with previous modeling studies in the region  
445 (Muñoz and Garreaud, 2005; Belmadani et al., 2013). The enhancement of the alongshore  
446 pressure gradient occurred because the atmospheric pressure decreased more north  
447 (~6°S) than south (~14°S), in association with the larger increase of SST, air temperature  
448 and humidity off northern Peru. Surface warming induced an increase of the height of the  
449 PBL of up to two and half times and of the vertical turbulent mixing coefficient ( $K_z = \tau /$   
450  $(dV/dz)$ ) of up to three times their values in normal conditions. However vertical mixing  
451 of momentum ( $d\tau/dz$ ) remained negative and was stronger (in absolute value) during EN  
452 that in normal conditions, thus did not accelerate the equatorward wind.

453

##### 454 **4.2 The back-pressure effect during EN**

455 The alongshore pressure gradient change was strong at surface and its vertical structure

456 varied during the EN period: the pressure gradient change was strong between the  
457 surface and ~1000m when there was no temperature inversion (e.g. in November 1997-  
458 February 1998; Figs. 11b,c). In contrast, it became negligible above 600m in the presence  
459 of a marked temperature inversion (e.g. in July-August 1997; Figs. 12b,c). Hashizume et  
460 al. (2002) showed that the so-called “back pressure effect”, a mechanism compensating  
461 the surface pressure gradients, was strong in the case of a marked temperature inversion  
462 above the PBL. Oerder et al. (2016) found that this effect reduced significantly the  
463 intensity of the surface pressure gradient above mesoscale SST positive anomalies in the  
464 Peru region. This is also likely the case in the Peru coastal region in our simulation during  
465 the period July-August 1997 (Fig. 12c). Note that temperature inversion is related to the  
466 strong atmospheric subsidence in the region led by the SPA (e.g. Haraguchi 1968).

467 In conclusion, it is likely that two conditions, a SST anomaly alongshore gradient and a  
468 weak (or absent) temperature vertical inversion would be necessary to drive a strong  
469 surface wind anomaly in the coastal region. The SST gradient drives the pressure  
470 gradient, and the weak temperature inversion allows shallow convection to develop  
471 without triggering any “back pressure effect”.

472

### 473 **4.3 Land-sea thermal contrast**

474 Bakun et al. (2010) suggested that the increase of humidity over coastal land during EN  
475 could enhance the local greenhouse heating effect, thus increasing land temperature  
476 more than SST. This thermal contrast would lead to the presence of lighter air over land  
477 than over sea, an intensification of the cross-shore pressure gradient and associated  
478 alongshore geostrophic wind. Similarly, Enfield (1981) suggested that a land-sea thermal  
479 contrast may occur due to an increase of downward solar radiation over land, which  
480 would be due to a reduction of cloudiness during EN. As Bakun et al. (2010) and Enfield  
481 (1981) suggested, humidity and incoming solar radiation increased over land during EN in  
482 our simulations (green and red lines respectively; Fig. 15). However, this increase in  
483 humidity and short wave radiation reaching the land surface did not support a  
484 strengthened land-sea thermal contrast. Indeed, the simulated land-sea contrast, which



485 was positive (*i.e.* air temperature was higher over land than over sea) in normal  
486 conditions (not shown), decreased by  $\sim 100\%$  during EN (blue line in Fig.15). Note that a  
487 clear relation between land-sea thermal contrast and alongshore winds off Peru has not  
488 been demonstrated in previous studies. Using a set of GCM simulations with a spatial  
489 resolution of  $\sim 50$  km in the Peru-Chile region, Belmadani et al. (2013) found that the  
490 land-sea thermal contrast ( $dT/dx$ ) increased off Peru in scenarios of climate change: at  
491  $8^\circ\text{S}$ ,  $dT/dx$  was  $\sim 4.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ K km}^{-1}$  in preindustrial climate conditions, and reached  $\sim 6.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$   
492  $\text{K km}^{-1}$  in  $4x\text{CO}_2$  climate conditions, thus increased by  $\sim 40\%$  (see Figure 8c in Belmadani  
493 et al., 2013). In spite of this strong increase, the alongshore wind decreased moderately  
494 ( $\sim 10\%$ ) off Peru. This reduction was driven by a decrease of the alongshore pressure  
495 gradient associated to a poleward displacement of the SPA in a warmer climate  
496 (Belmadani et al., 2013 and references herein). This and our results suggest that an  
497 increase of the land-sea thermal gradient may not play a strong dynamical role for the  
498 alongshore wind, at least for the range of horizontal resolution ( $\sim 25$  km in the present  
499 study and  $\sim 50$  km in Belmadani et al., 2013) explored in our model simulations. Note that  
500 these resolutions do not allow to represent the coastal terrain located between the Andes  
501 and the ocean with more than a few grid points.

502

#### 503 **4.4 Dynamical processes during other EN events**

504 Due to the model computational cost, our simulations were performed for a relatively  
505 short time period (1994-2000) including only one EN event. In order to evaluate if the  
506 same dynamical processes were active during other events, we performed similar  
507 diagnostics using the ERA-interim simulation over the period 1979-2016. This simulation  
508 had a lower spatial resolution ( $\sim 80$  km) than our regional model, but it can give hints of  
509 the processes at stake during EN events. The wind anomaly (in a coastal band of  $\sim 160$   
510 km and between  $7^\circ\text{S}$  and  $15^\circ\text{S}$ ) for the 1997-98 EN was  $\sim 0.6\text{-}0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , less than in our  
511 model ( $\sim 1.0\text{-}1.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  for an average over 6 WRF grid points). We found relatively strong  
512 wind anomalies during most EN events, in particular in 1982-83 ( $\sim 0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), 1987-88 ( $\sim 1$   
513  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), 1992-93 ( $0.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ), 2015-16 ( $0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 16). In agreement with our analysis,

514 these four events were associated with positive alongshore SST gradient anomalies (*e.g.*  
515  $\sim 0.15 \text{ } 10^{-2} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C km}^{-1}$  in 1982-83). There were also EN events with relatively weak wind  
516 anomalies (*i.e.* 2002-2003). Note that strong SST gradient anomalies occurred during  
517 relatively short time periods in 1993, 2002 and 2008, and were not associated with  
518 positive wind anomalies. We may conjecture that other processes such as a  
519 compensation by the large scale forcing through a modification of the SPA or the  
520 presence of temperature inversion with a back pressure effect may be active during these  
521 periods.

522

#### 523 **4.5 Local air-sea coupled processes during EN**

524 Local air-sea coupled interactions not investigated in the present study may also play a  
525 role during EN. First, the increase of humidity in the north of Peru leads to intense  
526 precipitation on land and over the nearshore ocean (Takahashi, 2004), which may  
527 enhance the ocean surface stratification. This may mitigate wind-driven oceanic vertical  
528 mixing in the north and thus help maintaining the anomalous alongshore SST gradient  
529 driving the coastal wind. On the other hand, the stronger coastal wind (Figs 1b and 5a),  
530 SST (Fig.5b) and humidity (Fig.8c) anomalies in the north would increase evaporation and  
531 thus cool the ocean more efficiently than further south. This effect may mitigate the SST  
532 gradient and thus reduce the wind anomaly. Studying such feedbacks, which were not  
533 taken into account in our forced atmospheric model framework, is beyond the scope of  
534 the present study. These questions, which can be addressed using a regional high  
535 resolution, ocean-atmosphere coupled model (*e.g.* Oerder *et al.* 2016), will be the purpose  
536 of future studies.

537

#### 538 **5. Acknowledgments**

539 This research is part of A. Chamorro's PhD thesis, funded by the fellowship from  
540 CIENCIACTIVA/CONCYTEC-PERU at the University Pierre and Marie CURIE of France. It is  
541 also part of the IDB project PE-G1001(Adaptation to Climate Change of the Fishery Sector  
542 and Marine-Coastal Ecosystem), and it is a contribution to the cooperative agreement

543 between the Instituto del Mar del Peru (IMARPE) and the Institut de Recherche pour le  
544 Developpement (IRD) and the LMI DISCOH. The simulations were performed on the  
545 supercomputer Curie from the GENCI at the CEA (projects 2011040542, 2012061047 and  
546 2014102286). Francois Pinsard is acknowledged for her help in the making of lateral  
547 boundary forcing for the regional atmospheric model. Francis Codron and Clémentine  
548 Junquas are acknowledged for useful discussions.

549

## 550 **6. References**

551 Bakun A, Field D, Renondo-Rodriguez A, Weeks SJ (2010) Greenhouse gas, upwelling  
552 favourable winds, and the future of upwelling systems. *Glob Chang Biol* 16:1213-1228

553

554 Belmadani A, Echevin V, Codron F, Takahashi K, Junquas C (2014) What dynamics drive  
555 future wind scenarios for coastal upwelling off Peru and Chile? *Clim Dyn* 43: 1893-1914.  
556 doi:10.1007/s00382-013-2015-2

557

558 Bentamy A, Grodsky SA, Katsaros K, Mestas-Nuñez AM, Blanke B, Desbiolles F (2013)  
559 Improvement in air-sea flux estimates derived from satellite observations. *Int J Remote*  
560 *Sens* 34(14):5243-5261. doi:10.1080/01431161.2013.787502

561

562 Bjerknes J (1966) Survey of El Niño 1957-58 in its relation to tropical Pacific meteorology.  
563 *Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Bulletin* 12(2):, pp 1-62

564

565 Boé J, Hall A, Colas F, McWilliams JC, Qu X, Kurian J, Kapnick SB (2011) What shapes  
566 mesoscale wind anomalies in coastal upwelling zones? *Clim Dyn* 36(11-12):2037-2049

567

568 Capet XJ, Marchesiello P., McWilliams JC (2004) Upwelling response to coastal wind  
569 profiles. *Geophys Res Lett* 31, L13311. doi:10.1029/2004GL020123

570

571 Carr M-E., Strub PT, Thomas AC, Blanco JL (2002) Evolution of 1996 - 1999 La Nina and El

572 Nino conditions off the western coast of South America: A remote sensing perspective. J  
573 Geophys Res 107(C12), 3236. doi:10.1029/2001JC001183  
574  
575 CERSAT (2002a) Mean wind fields (MWF product) user manual volume 1: ERS-1, ERS-2 &  
576 NSCAT. Rep C2-MUT-W-05-IF. CERSAT-IFREMER, Brest  
577  
578 CERSAT (2002b) Mean wind fields (MWF product) user manual volume 1: QuikSCAT. Rep  
579 C2-MUT-W-04-IF. CERSAT-IFR- EMER, Brest  
580  
581 Chavez FP, Bertrand A, Guevara-Carrasco R, Soler P, Csirke P (2008) The northern  
582 Humboldt Current System: Brief history, present status and a view towards the future.  
583 Prog Oceanogr 79(2-4):95-105. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.10.012  
584  
585 Chavez FP, Messié M (2009) A comparison of eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems.  
586 Prog Oceanogr 83(1):80-96.  
587  
588 Chen F, Dudhia J (2001) Coupling an advanced land surface hydrology model with the  
589 penn state-NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part ii: Preliminary model validation. Mon  
590 Weather Rev 129(4):587-604. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0587:CAA  
591 LSH>2.0.CO;2  
592  
593 Colas F, Capet X, McWilliams JC, Shchepetkin A. (2008) 1997-98 El Niño off Peru: A  
594 numerical study. Prog Oceanogr 79:138-155. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2008.10.015.  
595  
596 Dee, D.P. et al (2011) The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the  
597 data assimilation system. Q J R Meteorol Soc A 137:553-597. doi:10.1002/qj.828  
598  
599 Dudhia J (1989) Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon  
600 experiment using a mesoscale two-dimensional model. J Atmos Sci 46:3077-3107.

601 doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1989)046<3077: NSOCOD>2.0.CO;2.  
602  
603 Enfield DB (1981) Thermally-driven wind variability in the planetary boundary layer above  
604 Lima, Peru. J Geophys Res 86(C3):2005-2016  
605  
606 Haraguchi PY (1968) Inversions over the tropical eastern Pacific ocean. Mon Weather Rev  
607 96:177-185  
608  
609 Hashizume H, Xie SP, Fujiwara M, Tanimoto TWY (2002) Direct observations of  
610 atmospheric boundary layer response to SST variations associated with tropical instability  
611 waves over the Eastern Equatorial Pacific. J Clim 15:3379-3393. doi:10.1175/1520-  
612 0442(2002)015<3379:DOOABL>2.0.CO;2  
613  
614 Hong S, Lim J (2006) The WRF single-moment 6-class microphysics scheme (WSM6). J  
615 Korean Meteorol Soc 42(2):129-151  
616  
617 Janjic ZI (1994) The step-mountain eta coordinate model: further developments of the  
618 convection, viscous sublayer, and turbulence closure schemes. Mon Wea Rev 122:927-  
619 945. doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0927:TSMECM>2.0.CO;2  
620  
621 Kessler WS (2006) The circulation of the eastern tropical Pacific: A review. Prog Oceanogr  
622 69(2):181-217  
623  
624 Nakanishi M, Niino H (2009) Development of an improved turbulence closure model for  
625 the atmospheric boundary layer. J Meteorol Soc Jpn 87:895-912. doi:10.2151/jmsj.87.895  
626  
627 Madec G (2008) NEMO ocean engine. Note du Pole de modélisation,  
628 Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL) 27. ISSN No. 1288-1619.  
629

630 McPhaden MJ (1999) Genesis and evolution of the 1997–98 El Niño. *Science* 283:950-954.  
631

632 Mlawer E, Taubman S, Brown P, Iacono M, Clough S (1997) Radiative transfer for  
633 inhomogeneous atmosphere: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the long-wave. *J*  
634 *Geophys Res* 102:16,663–16,682. doi:10.1029/97JD00237

635

636 Muñoz RC, Garreaud RD (2005) Dynamics of the low-level jet off the west coast of  
637 subtropical South America. *Mon Wea Rev* 133:3661–3677. doi:10.1175/MWR3074.1

638

639 Oerder V, Colas F, Echevin V, Codron F, Tam J, Belmadani A (2015) Peru-Chile upwelling  
640 dynamics under climate change. *J Geophys Res* 120(2):1152-1172. doi:  
641 10.1002/2014JC010299.

642

643 Penven P, Echevin V, Pasapera J, Colas F, Tam J (2005) Average circulation, seasonal cycle,  
644 and mesoscale dynamics of the Peru current system: A modeling approach. *J Geophys Res*  
645 110, C10021. doi:10.1029/2005JC002945

646

647 Picaut J, Hackert E, Busalacchi A, Murtugudde R, Lagerloef GSE (2002), Mechanisms of  
648 the 1997–1998 El Niño–La Niña, as inferred from space-based observations. *J Geophys*  
649 *Res Oceans* 107 (C5). doi: 10.1029/2001JC000850.

650

651 Rahn DA (2012) Influence of large scale oscillations on upwelling-favorable coastal wind  
652 off central Chile. *J Geophys Res* 117, D19114. doi:10.1029/2012JD018016

653

654 Renault L, Hall H, McWilliams JC(2015) Orographic shaping of US West Coast wind profiles  
655 during the upwelling season. *Clim Dynam* 46:273–289. doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2583-4

656

657 Reynolds RW, Smith TM, Liu C, Chelton DB, Casey KS, Schlax MG (2007) Daily high-  
658 resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature. *J Climate* 20:5473-5496.

659 doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1824.1.

660

661 Skamarock W, Klemp J (2008) A time-split nonhydrostatic atmos- pheric model for  
662 weather research and forecasting applications. *J Comp Phys* 227:3465-3485.

663 doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.01.037

664

665 Small, R. J., and Coauthors, 2008: Air-sea interaction over ocean fronts and eddies. *Dyn.*

666 *Atmos. Oceans*, 45, 274-319, doi:10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2008.01.001.

667

668 Strub PT, J Mesías, V Montecino, J Rutllant & S Salinas. 1998. Coastal ocean circulation off

669 Western South America. In: Robinson AR & KH Brink (eds). *The global coastal ocean:*

670 *Regional studies and syntheses. The Sea* 11: 273-314. John Wiley, New York.

671

672 Takahashi K (2004) The atmospheric circulation associated with extreme rainfall events in

673 Piura, Peru, during the 1997-1998 and 2002 El Niño events. *Ann Geophys* 22:3917-3926.

674 doi:10.5194/angeo-22-3917-2004

675

676 Takahashi K, Battisti DS (2007) Processes Controlling the Mean Tropical Pacific

677 Precipitation Pattern. Part I: The Andes and the Eastern Pacific ITCZ. *Journal of Climate*

678 20:3434-3451. doi: 10.1175/JCLI4198.1

679

680 Wallace JM, Hobbs PV (2006) *Atmospheric Science: A Introductory Survey*. 2nd ed.

681 Academic Press, pp 483

682

683 Wallace JM, Mitchell T, Deser C (1989) The influence of sea surface temperature on

684 surface wind in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific: seasonal and interannual variability. *J Clim*

685 2:1492-1499. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1989)002<1492:TIOSST>2.0.CO;2

686

687 Wyrтки K (1975). *El Niño: the dynamic response of the equatorial Pacific to atmospheric*

688 forcing. Journal of Physical Oceanography 5:572–584

689

690 Xue H, Wang Y, Xie SP (2004) Effects of the andes on eastern pacific climate: a regional  
691 atmospheric model study. J Clim 17:587–602

692

693 Zuta S, Guillén O (1970) Oceanografía de las aguas costeras del Perú. Boletín Instituto del  
694 Mar del Perú 2: 157–324

695

## 696 **7. Tables**

697 Table 1. Parameterizations used in WRF model for the simulations.

698

<b>Processes</b>	<b>Scheme</b>	<b>Reference</b>
Shortwave Radiation	Dudhia scheme	Dudhia (1989)
Longwave Radiation	RRTM scheme	Mlawer et al. (1997)
Microphysics	WRF Single-Moment 6-class scheme	Hong and Lim (2006)
Cumulus	Betts-Miller-Janjic scheme	Janjic (1994)
Surface Layer	MYNN surface layer	Nakanishi and Niino (2009)
Land Surface	Noah Land Surface Model	Chen and Dudhia (2001)
Planetary Boundary Layer	MYNN Level 2.5 PBL	Nakanishi and Niino (2009)

699

700

## 701 **8. Figure captions**

702 Figure 1. a) ERS wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) off Peru and Northern Chile during El Niño  
703 conditions in November-February 1997/1998. Arrows mark the direction of the monthly  
704 wind anomalies. b) Time-latitude diagram of ERS alongshore monthly wind anomalies off  
705 the Peru coast. The wind average was computed within a 100 km-wide coastal band and  
706 a 3-month running mean was applied. Positive values indicate equatorward wind  
707 anomalies.

708

709 Figure 2. South East Pacific model domain and Peru nested domain used in the WRF



710 simulations. Color shading indicates model topography (in meters) above sea level for the  
711 small domain.

712

713 Figure 3. Mean surface wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) in 2000 from a) ERS satellite, b) WRF model and c)  
714 QSCAT satellite. Mean annual cycle of the alongshore wind (averaged in a 100-km-wide  
715 coastal domain) from d) ERS and e) WRF over the period 1994-2000, and from f) QSCAT  
716 over the period 2000-2008.

717

718 Figure 4. Vertical structure of the mean meridional wind (shading, in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and air  
719 temperature (black contours, in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) at  $15^{\circ}\text{S}$  from a) ERA-Interim and b) WRF  
720 model. Data was averaged for the 1994-2000 period.

721

722 Figure 5. a) Mean surface wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) from WRF (over November 1997-  
723 February 1998) and b) time-latitude diagram of WRF alongshore wind anomalies. c) Mean  
724 sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies (in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) from OISST (over the same time  
725 period in a)) and d) time-latitude diagram of alongshore SST anomalies.

726

727 Figure 6. Anomalies of the meridional component of the surface forces contribution (in  $\text{m}$   
728  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) during El Niño 1997/1998: a) advection (V-ADV'), b) vertical turbulent mixing (V-MIX'),  
729 c) pressure gradient (V-PGF'), and d) Coriolis force (V-COR'). Anomalies were computed  
730 with respect to a climatology over 1994-2000 and averaged over November 1997-  
731 February 1998. e) Time series of the monthly anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) of the alongshore  
732 component of the surface forces in 1997-1998. The forces were averaged in a 100-km  
733 coastal band between  $7^{\circ}\text{S}$  and  $15^{\circ}\text{S}$ . Gray, black and black-dashed lines indicate  
734 tendency, the sum of all terms and wind anomaly, respectively.

735

736 Figure 7. a) Alongshore anomalies of pressure gradient (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , shading) and coastal  
737 wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , black contours) between the equator and  $20^{\circ}\text{S}$ . b) Latitudinal variation of  
738 the correlation between alongshore pressure gradient anomalies and alongshore coastal

739 wind anomalies.

740

741 Figure 8. Alongshore vertical sections of the mean monthly anomalies (during November  
742 1997-February 1998) of a) virtual temperature (in °C) and b) temperature and c) humidity  
743 contributions (in °C) to the virtual temperature anomaly. Note the different scales for  
744 temperature and humidity contribution. d) Vertical profiles of humidity in the northern  
745 coastal region (4°S-8°S) during November 1997-January 1998 (full line) and normal  
746 conditions (dashed line).

747

748 Figure 9: Time evolution of alongshore SST gradient (in °C/25km, positive equatorward,  
749 red line) and wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , black line) anomalies. Anomalies were smoothed using a 3-  
750 month running mean, and averaged between 7°S and 15°S and within 100 km from the  
751 coast.

752

753 Figure 10. Mean Planetary Boundary Layer Height (in meters, PBLH) off Peru. PBLH was  
754 averaged between 7°S and 15°S and within 100 km from the coast. Red line marks PBLH  
755 during 1997-1998, and black dashed line the climatology. Error bars indicate standard  
756 deviation from the mean climatological values.

757

758 Figure 11. Vertical profiles of a) alongshore wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ), b) anomalies of alongshore  
759 forces (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and c) air temperature (in °C). Averages were computed over  
760 November 1997-February 1998, between 7°S and 15°S, and within 100 km from the  
761 coast. Full and dashed lines correspond to El Niño and normal conditions, respectively.

762

763 Figure 12. Same as Figure 10 but for the period June-August 1997.

764

765 Figure 13: a) Time series of coastal alongshore wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) from CRTL (black  
766 line), SST-EN (red line), BRY-EN (blue line) experiments. Mean alongshore wind profiles (in  
767  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) in b) July-August 1997 and c) November 1997-February 1998. Black-dashed, black,

768 red and blue lines mark the climatological (CLIM) ,CTRL, SST-EN and BRY-EN profiles,  
769 respectively.

770

771 Figure 14. Mean (red contours) and anomalous (shading) sea level pressure (in hPa)  
772 during a) March-September 1997 and b) October 1997-March 1998. White contours mark  
773 pressure climatological values (1994-2000).

774

775 Figure 15. Anomalies of air humidity at 2 meters (in  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$ , green line), downward  
776 shortwave radiation at sea surface (in  $\text{W m}^{-2}$ , red line) and land-sea air temperature  
777 gradient at 2 meters (in  $10^{-2} \text{ }^\circ\text{C km}$ , blue line). Anomalies of air humidity and shortwave  
778 radiation were taken at the model land grid points closest to sea. Temperature gradient  
779 was computed as the difference between the model land grid point closest to sea and the  
780 sea grid point closest to land at each latitude. All variables were averaged between  $7^\circ\text{S}$   
781 and  $15^\circ\text{S}$ .

782

783 Figure 16. Time evolution of alongshore SST gradient (in  $^\circ\text{C}/80 \text{ km}$ , positive equatorward,  
784 red line) and alongshore wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , black line) anomalies for ERA-Interim reanalysis  
785 over 1979-2016. Anomalies were averaged in a 160-km-wide coastal band and between  
786  $7^\circ\text{S}$  and  $15^\circ\text{S}$ . Grey vertical bands indicate El Niño periods.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5






Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12




Figure 13








Figure 14




Figure 15





Figure 16



**Table 1.** Parameterizations used in WRF model for the simulations.

<b>Processes</b>	<b>Scheme</b>	<b>Reference</b>
Shortwave Radiation	Dudhia scheme	Dudhia (1989)
Longwave Radiation	RRTM scheme	Mlawer et al. (1997)
Microphysics	WRF Single-Moment 6-class scheme	Hong and Lim (2006)
Cumulus	Betts-Miller-Janjic scheme	Janjic (1994)
Surface Layer	MYNN surface layer	Nakanishi and Niino (2009)
Land Surface	Noah Land Surface Model	Chen and Dudhia (2001)
Planetary Boundary Layer	MYNN Level 2.5 PBL	Nakanishi and Niino (2009)



### 3.4 Additional results and discussion (not included in the paper)

Here, we extend our results and discussion about the wind drop-off in the model validation. We also extend our results on shortwave flux at air-sea interface and air temperature on land surface, which were useful to extend the discussion referring on land sea thermal contrast.

#### 3.4.1 The wind drop-off

The wind decrease towards the coast (i.e. the wind drop-off) was poorly simulated by the model. The WRF winds are too strong at the coast in comparison with the satellite winds (Fig. 3.1). Capet et al. (2004) showed that increasing the spatial resolution (from 27 km to 3 km) in the COAMPS model increased the wind drop-off at the coast of California. However the range of spatial resolution in Capet et al. (2004) is higher than in our case (25 km) and the region is different (higher latitude, lower mountain range). Oerder et al. (2016) show that the simulated WRF wind drop-off (near 20°S off South Peru) is in better agreement with satellite wind and rawinsonde data, when the model resolution is higher (~9 km). This is likely due to a better representation of the coastal orography, among other effects. It will be verified in the next chapter. Besides, the wind drop-off can not be measured accurately with satellite wind measurements within 50 km from the coast. We will address this aspect of the wind in another chapter (section 4.3.1). The large differences between QSCAT and ERS offshore are probably due to differences in the algorithms that retrieve surface wind from the wind stress which are nearly directly measured by the scatterometers scatterrometers.




Fig. 3.1 Mean zonal profiles of the wind speed in the year 2000, averaged between 7°S and 13°S, from WRF model, and the scatterometers QSCAT and ERS.

### 3.4.2 Shortwave flux at the air-sea interface

The spatial distribution of the mean downward shortwave flux at the surface is not well reproduced by the model (specially over land) compared with data from two satellites (SRB and ISCCP; Fig. 3.2a, b and c). It is related with the difficulty to simulate the cloud cover in this region (Wyant et al., 2010). Satellite data shows two minimum shortwave flux patterns ( $\sim 175 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) over sea located off the continental coast between  $12\text{--}30^\circ\text{S}$  and between  $0\text{--}8^\circ\text{N}$  in the Panama Bight region. Note that the shortwave flux measured by SRB is stronger than ISCCP data. The shortwave flux along the Peru-Chile coast is overestimated by the model by 13% off Peru and 20% off Chile with respect to ISCCP (less with respect to SRB). Moreover, the shortwave flux modelled is strongly underestimated over land on the steep slopes of the Andes and is overestimated in the Amazon basin rainforest.

On the other hand, even though the mean shortwave flux is poorly reproduced, the shortwave anomalies simulated by the model during 1997-1998 El Niño are of the correct magnitude (Figs. 3.2d, e and f). Model and observations show strong shortwave positive anomalies ( $\sim 50\text{--}70 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) between  $0\text{--}8^\circ\text{N}$  and off the coast of northern Chile ( $\sim 25 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ).

Figure 3.3 shows the mean annual cycle of the shortwave flux from the model and observations in the coastal domain over sea and over land. Over sea the WRF downward shortwave flux annual cycle is in agreement with observations. Over land the WRF shortwave flux is strongly underestimated, particularly in summer with  $250 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in the observations against  $180 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in WRF (-28%). We may underestimate the land-sea thermal gradient due to this shortwave bias. Note that the poor representation of downward shortwave radiation and associated cloud cover in models of the Southeast Pacific lower troposphere is a well-known problem (e.g. Wyant et al. (2010)).

### 3.4.3 Air temperature on land surface

Despite the shortwave bias, the model reproduces reasonably well the surface air temperature distribution and, more importantly for the purpose of the present study, the air temperature anomalies at surface during EN, in agreement with reanalysis data (see Fig. 3.4). It shows that the air temperature increases more over sea than over land associated with the strong SST warming during El Niño, thus reducing the land-sea thermal contrast.

However, the land-sea thermal contrast is believed to have an impact on the wind at a smaller spatial scale, as suggested by Enfield (1981) in the region of Lima. To evaluate the skill of the model to reproduce the local air temperature conditions, we computed the mean annual cycle and the monthly averaged air temperature above land at  $12^\circ\text{S}$  (Lima location) in the model. We found a temperature inversion in winter (see Fig. 3.5). These model results are in agreement with those computed by Enfield (1981) using rawinsonde data for the period 1963-1977 (see his Figure 4). We conclude that a high resolution modelling will be necessary to test the Enfield's conclusions.




Fig. 3.2 Mean shortwave flux at the surface ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) for the period 1994-2000 from a) WRF, b) SRB and c) ISCCP. d-f) Respective anomalies during El Niño period November 1997-January 1998.




Fig. 3.3 Mean annual cycle of the downward shortwave flux at the surface from WRF model and satellite observations (ISCCP, SRB). Data were averaged for the period 1994-2000 in a 100 km wide coastal domain over sea between 7–15°S. Dashed lines correspond to data over a 100 km wide coastal domain over land. Note that ISCCP data is only available over sea.






Fig. 3.4 Air temperature at 2 meters over land from a) WRF model and b) ERA-interim. Anomalies of the air temperature at 2 meters from the surface during November 1997-January 1998 (Niño conditions) from c) WRF and d) ERA-interim. Units are in °C.




Fig. 3.5 a) Mean annual cycle of air temperature over Lima ( $12^{\circ}\text{S}$ ) and b) monthly average for the period 1994-2000.

# Chapter 4

## Climate change impact on the Peruvian coastal winds

### 4.1 Introduction

Global Climate Models (GCMs) do not simulate realistically the wind and sea surface temperature in nearshore regions because of their coarse spatial resolution ( $\sim 100\text{--}200$  km) and/or their regional biases (Belmadani et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). Hence, there is a great need to achieve the downscaling of GCMs climate change projections to obtain finer-scale projections with realistic representation of the regional conditions.

As mentioned in chapter 1, there are few regional studies about the impact of the climate change on the upwelling-favorable wind on the Peruvian upwelling system. Using scatterometer gridded winds ( $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ ) from QuikSCAT, Goubanova et al. (2011) applied a statistical downscaling method to obtain surface wind at  $\sim 50$  km of resolution from the outputs of the IPSL-CM4 global model. They found that surface alongshore winds off Peru show a significant weakening (5–10%) in summer over the  $2CO_2$  and  $4CO_2$  scenarios. It was associated with a decrease of intraseasonal variability of the coastal winds off Peru. Belmadani et al. (2014) used the LMDz atmospheric global model with progressively refined horizontal resolution of  $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$  in the Peru-Chile upwelling region. They found that downscaled winds show a weakening off Peru in both summer (20–30%) and winter (5–10%). They were associated with the SPA southward shift and the increase of precipitation over the tropics associated with convective anomalies.

The limitations of these regional wind projections are the models relatively low spatial resolution ( $\sim 50\text{--}100$  km), the downscaling of a single global model, and the idealized CMIP3 scenarios ( $2CO_2$  and  $4CO_2$ ) that were used. In this chapter we assess the regional impact of climate change on coastal winds off Peru using a high resolution atmospheric model, the multi-model mean (MMM) of 31 CMIP5 models, and the new RCP8.5 pessimistic scenario. We also study the mechanisms governing local wind changes and relate them to previous findings from other authors.

## 4.2 Model and data

### 4.2.1 WRF model configuration

We used the WRF model version 3.7.1 with three nested domains (Fig. 4.1a). The largest domain encompasses the tropical Pacific region with a horizontal resolution of 105 km. It was also used to carry out downscaling of climate change projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone (see Dutheil et al. (2018)). The second domain covers the Peru and Northern Chile regions (30°S-12°N) with a horizontal resolution of 21 km. The innermost domain covers nearly all of the Peru coast (17°S-5°S) with a horizontal grid spacing of 7 km. The high resolution of the innermost domain improves the representation of the orography and shape of the coastline (Fig. 4.1b). A total of 32 vertical levels were used in the parent domain, and 60 vertical levels in the second and innermost domain, with 21 levels in the first ~1000 m which allows to represent better the coastal terrain located between the Andes and the ocean (Order, 2016). The physical parameterizations used in this study are described in section 2.3.




Fig. 4.1 a) The three model domains used in the WRF simulations: d01, d02 and d03, with horizontal resolution of 105, 21 and 7 km respectively b) Model orography (in meters) above sea level for the innermost domain.

### 4.2.2 Model simulations

First, we performed two simulations on the largest domain (WRF 105 km) independently: a control simulation over the period 1994-2003, using 6-hourly lateral boundaries conditions from the NCEP2 reanalysis and SST forcing from the OISST database (Reynolds et al. 2007); and a climate change simulation where anomalies of the seasonal cycle from the CMIP5 multi-model mean (CMIP5 MMM) for the RCP8.5 scenario (period 2086-2095) are added to the 6-hourly NCEP2 lateral boundary conditions and to the OISST forcing, following the same methodology as in Dutheil et al. (2018).

Then, two simulations (control and climate change) were performed on the nested domain of 21 km of resolution using the coarse solutions from the WRF 105 km simulations

in one-way nesting mode. Finally, high resolution simulations (7 km) were performed on the innermost domain using the solutions from the WRF 21 km simulations, control and climate change respectively.

Anomalies of the seasonal cycle from the CMIP5 MMM were generated from 31 CMIP5 climate models (see subsection 2.1.2). They are monthly differences of the future climate from 2080 to 2100 (RCP8.5 scenario) with the present climate from 1989 to 2009. It means that the interannual variability at the boundaries is prescribed in the future climate and is identical to that of the present climate. The anomalies are added to the NCEP2 temperature, water vapour mixing ratio, geopotential height, and wind at each vertical model level, and to the OISST sea surface temperature to build the boundary conditions, the initial state and the surface (SST) forcing of the climate change simulation. This methodology approach to assess future climate change, called the pseudo global warming method (PGW), allows to reduce the model bias of the CGCMs projection by the use of the climate difference estimated by the CGCMs (Rasmussen et al., 2011).

For example, Figure 4.2a shows the annual mean SST ensemble averaged for 31 global models over the period 1989-2009. It shows the well-known SST pattern in the tropical Pacific, warm pool in the west and a cold tongue in the east. This pattern is not well reproduced by the models compared to observations (Reynolds et al., 2007) given that they exhibit a quite robust and strong positive bias of  $\sim 2-3^\circ\text{C}$  off the West coast of South America (Fig. 4.2b). This bias is well known and has been attributed to different processes: lack of low-altitude clouds, lack of upwelling of cool water, absence of surface oceanic eddies (Richter, 2015). To build the SST forcing for the climate change simulation, we computed the monthly climatological differences in SST from the CMIP5 MMM between the period 2080-2100 (RCP8.5 scenario) and 1989-2009 (Fig. 4.2c). They are added to the SST forcing from NCEP2 (OISST), using the following equation:

$$SST(WRF_{RCP8.5}) = SST(NCEP2, \text{daily}) + SST_{clim}(CMIP5_{RCP8.5}, 2080-2100, \text{monthly}) - SST_{clim}(CMIP5_{historical}, 1989-2009, \text{monthly})$$

This allows to filter the bias shown in Figure 4.2b. However it assumes (and it is a strong assumption) that the strong SST bias in the CMIP models has no impact on the amplitude of the CMIP SST anomalies, which is impossible to verify. A similar formula is used for other variables for the boundary conditions.

Note that the patterns of the SST climate anomalies (future-present) in summer and winter differ from each other off Peru (Fig. 4.3a, b), and the SST climate anomalies are stronger in June and are weaker in January-February in the nearshore area (100-km coastal band; Fig. 4.3c).

## 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Surface wind validation

We start with the evaluation of the simulated surface winds in the present period. To show the impact of the model resolution on modeled nearshore winds, the annual mean surface wind from WRF 105 km, WRF 21 km and WRF 7 km (here after referred to as WRF105,




Fig. 4.2 a) The annual ensemble mean SST for 31 global models (period 1989-2009). b) The annual ensemble mean SST bias calculated by the difference between the model SST and the AVHRR SST observations. The dots denote where 80% of the 31 models have the same sign for the SST bias. c) Differences in SST between the periods 2080-2100 (RCP8.5 scenario) and 1989-2009 (historical simulation) from 31 CMIP5 global climate models.




Fig. 4.3 SST climate anomalies (future-present) in a) summer and b) winter in the Peru region. c) Seasonal cycle of the SST climate anomalies averaged in a 100 km coastal band between 7–15°S. Data from the CMIP5 MMM.

WRF21 and WRF7 respectively) are shown for the small model domain in Fig. 4.4a, b and c, respectively. Also shown is the climatological mean surface wind from QuikSCAT in Fig 4.4d. Satellite observations show that surface wind blows north-westward (approximately parallel to the coastline) with stronger intensity offshore (around  $7.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). The intensity of offshore winds (the trade winds offshore) is slightly overestimated by all model simulations (WRF105, WRF21 and WRF7). On the other hand, the wind intensity decreases shoreward, up to  $\sim 4.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  off the North coast in observations. It is well reproduced by WRF7, but overestimated by WRF105 and underestimated by WRF21.

Fig. 4.4e shows cross-shore profiles of wind speed (the so-called wind drop-off, Capet et al. (2004)) averaged between 7–13°S obtained from the three model configurations and QuikSCAT observations. It shows that WRF105 produces a strong wind decrease shoreward (the so-called wind drop-off) but it cannot resolve the nearshore zone due to its lower resolution. WRF21 produces a weak wind drop-off in the first 200 km off the coast, and WRF7 reproduces reasonably well the wind drop-off in the coastal zone in agreement with observations. Note that the QuikSCAT satellite have a “blind zone” near the coast of approximately 50 km where no data are available (Bentamy and Fillon, 2012).

Figure 4.5 shows the climatological mean annual cycle of the alongshore wind off the Peru coast from WRF7 and QuikSCAT over the period 2000-2003. Overall, modelled and observed alongshore wind climatologies are in agreement on the seasonal cycle. The strongest winds ( $< 7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) are found during austral winter (July–September) around 15°S, where there is a well-marked seasonal cycle. It is well reproduced by the model. On the other hand, the alongshore wind is weaker during austral summer (January–March), especially between 5–12°S. It is overestimated by the model by about  $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  ( $\sim 25\%$  error).

Figure 4.6 shows the seasonal variability of the wind drop-off. According to QuikSCAT observations, the wind drop-off is stronger in summer and weaker in winter. The wind decrease from  $\sim 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 200 km offshore to  $\sim 3.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 50 km offshore in summer (i.e.  $8 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s/km}$ ), and it decrease from  $\sim 6.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 200 km offshore to  $\sim 5.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 50 km offshore in winter (i.e  $5 \times 10^3 \text{ m/s/km}$ ). The model reproduces well the cross-shore




Fig. 4.4 Mean surface wind for the period 2000-2003 from a) WRF105, b) WRF21, c) WRF7 simulations, and d) QuikSCAT satellite observations. e) Mean cross-shore surface wind speed between 7–13°S.




Fig. 4.5 Mean annual cycle of alongshore wind (averaged in a coastal band of ~100 km) from a) WRF7 and b) QuikSCAT over the period 2000-2003. Model data was interpolated on the 1/4° QuikSCAT grid.



wind speed compared to QuikSCAT, except in summer where modelled wind speed is overestimated by about  $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 100 km offshore. Unfortunately there are no in situ observations such as the VOCALS data base to evaluate the nearshore wind in summer.




Fig. 4.6 Mean cross-shore surface wind speed (between  $7\text{--}13^\circ\text{S}$ ) in a) summer, b) autumn, c) winter, and d) spring, for the period 2000-2003, from WRF7 (red lines) and QuikSCAT (black lines).

#### 4.3.2 Future changes in the mean and seasonal cycle of the surface wind

The mean surface winds in the period 2086-2095 under the scenario RCP8.5 from WRF21 and WRF7 are shown in Figures 4.7a and 4.7d respectively. Their differences with the period 1994-2003 are shown in Figures 4.7b and 4.7e. Also shown is the relative change (in %) in the mean seasonal cycle of alongshore winds off the Peru coast (Figures 4.7c and 4.7f). We found weak changes in the mean state of the surface winds. Wind change in the offshore region is positive, about  $0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at 400-km offshore and it decreases shoreward. In the nearshore region, wind change is slightly negative off the northern and southern coast of Peru, and is slightly positive off the central coast. Positive change in the nearshore region between  $11\text{--}14^\circ\text{S}$  is greater in WRF7 than in WRF21. Concerning the change in the seasonal cycle of the alongshore winds, they tend to weaken in spring and summer (by  $\sim 5\%$ ) off the northern and southern coast, and alongshore winds tend to intensify in fall and winter off the central coast by  $\sim 5\%$  in WRF21 and  $\sim 10\%$  in WRF7. Wind differences driven by resolution will be discussed later (section 4.4).

Figure 4.8 shows that the offshore wind changes can be different from the nearshore wind changes, for example the offshore wind speed changes are always positive, whereas the nearshore wind changes are negative in summer and positive in winter. Something peculiar happens between  $11^\circ\text{S}$  and  $14^\circ\text{S}$  near the coast (north of the Paracas peninsula, where Pisco bay is located), where wind change is always positive. This will be discussed in more details in next section.




Fig. 4.7 Mean surface wind in the period 2086-2095 under the RCP8.5 scenario from a) WRF21 and d) WRF 7km. Change in mean surface wind (2086-2095 average minus 1994-2003 average) from b) WRF21 and e) WRF7. Relative change (in %) in the mean seasonal cycle of alongshore winds (in a coastal band of  $\sim 100$  km) for the period 2085-2095 relative to the period 1994-2003 from c) WRF21 and f) WRF7.

### 4.3.3 Climate-change induced modifications of the momentum budget

First, in order to investigate the spatial patterns of the forces which drive the surface winds off Peru under historical and future conditions, we computed the annual mean meridional component of the forces in the first layer of the model for the whole domain (Figs. 4.9 and 4.10). Second, to examine the coastal wind change dynamics, we computed the summer and winter mean of the wind and the alongshore forces in a coastal band of 100 km along the Peru coast (Figs. 4.11 and 4.12).

Figure 4.9 shows the spatial structure of the different forces driving the meridional surface wind in present conditions (period 1994-2003). These terms are computed in the model surface layer in contact with the ocean (see subsection 2.3.3). Note that winds off Peru are mostly oriented South-North. Figure 4.9a shows the advection term ( $V\_ADV$ ). In most of the domain the magnitude of this term is nearly zero, except in the nearshore region north of  $14^\circ S$  off the Pisco bay. There, this term shows a local positive maximum, showing that it accelerates the meridional wind locally.

Figure 4.9b shows the pressure gradient ( $V\_PGF$ ). This term is positive in nearly the whole region. It shows high values off the South coast and offshore, which decrease to the north. Particularly, it shows a local negative minimum value north of  $14^\circ S$  off the Pisco bay in contrast to the advection term local positive maximum. The Coriolis ( $V\_COR$ ) and vertical mixing ( $V\_MIX$ ) terms are negative in the whole region (Figs. 4.9c and




Fig. 4.8 Change in mean surface wind in a) summer, b) autumn, c) winter, and d) spring, from WRF7 simulation.

4.9d). They show strongly negative values off the South coast that decrease to the north showing some similar structures to those seen in the pressure gradient term.

Comparison of advection, pressure gradient, Coriolis and vertical mixing terms shows that in general the pressure gradient is the dominant force (Fig. 4.9b), which is mainly compensated by the Coriolis force and vertical mixing (Figs. 4.9c and 4.9d), except in the nearshore region north of 14°S off the Pisco bay where advection plays an important role in balancing the negative pressure gradient. On average over the time period, trends in the wind ( $DV/dt$ ) are much weaker than the other terms of the momentum balance (not shown).

Figure 4.10 shows the momentum anomalies due to climate change, i.e. the difference between the RCP8.5 (period 2086-2095) and the present time (period 1994-2003). Comparison of change in advection, pressure gradient, Coriolis and vertical mixing shows that at large scale pressure gradient and vertical mixing are the dominant forces (Figs. 4.10b and 4.10d). The pressure gradient change shows relatively strong positive anomalies ( $\sim 150 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) in the South-West region that decrease shoreward. The vertical mixing change is negative and opposed to the pressure gradient change. The two terms almost balance each other everywhere in the domain, except in the nearshore region north of 14°S off the Pisco bay where advection change is positive and relatively strong (Fig. 4.10a) and pressure gradient change is negative. The Coriolis term change has smaller values (Fig. 4.10c).

In order to analyze the dynamical processes associated with the upwelling-favorable winds off the Peru coast, an alongshore momentum budget is performed in a 100-km




Fig. 4.9 Mean annual meridional component of the surface forces (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) in the period 1994-2003: a) advection ( $V\_ADV$ ), b) pressure gradient ( $V\_PGF$ ), c) Coriolis force ( $V\_COR$ ) and d) turbulent vertical mixing ( $V\_MIX$ ).

coastal band following Belmadani et al. (2014). Results show that the alongshore momentum budget is dominated by pressure gradient and vertical mixing, which compensate each other (Figs. 4.11b and 4.12b for summer and winter, respectively), except between 12–14°S (off the Pisco bay) where the advection term plays an important role in the momentum balance. In addition, it shows that the model at high resolution is able to capture a lot of the alongshore wind variability that is induced by the capes and bays along the coast, as shown by Boé et al. (2011) and Renault et al. (2015) for the California region.

In future conditions during summer (January-March), the pressure gradient decreases along the coast for the most part (Fig. 4.11c) similarly as the alongshore winds (Fig. 4.11a). The pressure gradient decrease is stronger between 14–17°S where the alongshore wind decrease is about  $-0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . It will be shown in section 4.3.5 that the decrease in pressure gradient and alongshore winds during summer is in association with the poleward displacement of the South Pacific Anticyclone.

On the other hand, the alongshore wind increases along the coast between 8–15°S in winter (July-September) under future conditions (Fig. 4.12a). As in summer, the pressure gradient and vertical mixing are the dominant forces driving the wind along the coast, except between 12–14°S where advection plays an important role (Fig. 4.12b). In future conditions, the pressure gradient tends to increase relatively strongly ( $\sim 16\%$ ) between




Fig. 4.10 Change in the mean annual meridional component of the surface forces (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) between the period 2086-2095 under the scenario RCP8.5 and the period 1994-2003: a) advection ( $V\_ADV$ ), b) pressure gradient ( $V\_PGF$ ), c) Coriolis force ( $V\_COR$ ) and d) turbulent vertical mixing ( $V\_MIX$ ).




Fig. 4.11 a) Mean alongshore wind along the Peru coast in summer (January-March) for present (1994-2003) and future (2086-2095) conditions. b) Mean alongshore momentum budget along the Peru coast for present conditions. c) Projected change in the mean alongshore momentum budget.

13.5–15.5°S and moderately ( $\sim 13\%$ ) between 9–12.5°S, while the advection term tends to increase moderately ( $\sim 10\%$ ) between 7–14°S (Figs. 4.7c).




Fig. 4.12 Same as Fig. 4.11 but for winter (July-September)

### Advection terms of the momentum budget and local wind intensification

We found that the advection term of the momentum budget plays a major role in the coastal wind local intensification observed between 12–14°S in the Paracas-Pisco bay (Fig. 4.12c) during May-August. In order to investigate the zonal, meridional and vertical advection of momentum, we computed these terms offline from the climatological velocities. We used the following formula:

$$V\_ADV = -U \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - V \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - W \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}$$

where  $V\_ADV$  is the total advection of meridional momentum,  $-U \partial V / \partial x$  is the zonal advection term ( $V\_ADV_x$ ),  $-V \partial V / \partial y$  is the meridional advection term ( $V\_ADV_y$ ), and  $-W \partial V / \partial z$  is the vertical advection term ( $V\_ADV_z$ ) of the meridional momentum.

Figure 4.13 shows the mean total advection term of meridional momentum and their respective zonal, meridional and vertical contributions between May and August in present conditions in the Paracas-Pisco bay area. It shows that downwind of the peninsula, the advection accelerate the meridional wind component  $V$  (Fig. 4.13a). This has been documented in previous studies of expansion fans near capes (e.g. Perlin et al. (2011); Soares et al. (2018)). This wind acceleration is mainly due to the meridional advection term that largely dominates (Fig. 4.13c). The zonal advection is weak (even slightly negative near the cape; Fig. 4.13c), with the exception of the inner bay where it is of comparable intensity than the meridional advection. The contribution of the vertical advection term is negligible, except around the cape (positive downwind and negative upwind of the peninsula; Fig. 4.13d).

Figure 4.14 shows the change in total advection and the change in the zonal, meridional and vertical terms over May-August under future conditions. The total advection




Fig. 4.13 a) Total advection of meridional momentum over May-August under present conditions and their respective b) zonal, b) meridional and vertical contributions in the Paracas-Pisco bay area. Arrows in a) indicate surface winds.

term increases in the bay area under future conditions (Fig. 4.14a). The zonal advection term is enhanced nearshore in the bay (Fig. 4.14b), whereas the meridional term is enhanced mainly north of the Paracas peninsula ( $76^{\circ}20'W, 13^{\circ}50'S$ ; Fig. 4.14c). The vertical advection term is enhanced around the cape (Fig. 4.14d). Overall, the total advection term increase is mainly related to change in the meridional advection.




Fig. 4.14 a) Change in total advection of meridional momentum over May-August under future conditions. Change of the b) zonal, b) meridional and vertical advection contributions.

#### 4.3.4 Future changes in the vertical structure of the PBL

Here we examine the changes in the mean vertical structure of the PBL (turbulent vertical mixing coefficient ( $K_z$ ), temperature, and momentum vertical mixing term) between

present and future conditions. Spatio-temporal averages are computed between 7–15°S and within the first 100 km from the coast.

Figures 4.15a-b show the vertical sections of the annual mean air temperature along the Peru coast for future and present conditions, respectively. The air temperature shows an almost homogeneous increase of 3–4°C between the surface and 1600 m. There remains a well marked temperature inversion in winter and not in summer (Fig. 4.15c). Similarly, the air humidity in the vertical section increases almost uniformly by 2–3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> between the surface and 1600 m (Fig. 4.16a-b), both during summer and winter (Fig. 4.16c).




Fig. 4.15 Alongshore vertical section of the annual mean of air temperature for a) future and b) present conditions. c) Air temperature vertical profile for summer and winter seasons in future conditions. Dotted lines show the air temperature in present conditions.




Fig. 4.16 Alongshore vertical section of the annual mean of air humidity for a) future and b) present conditions. c) Air humidity vertical profile for summer and winter seasons in future conditions. Dotted lines show the air humidity in present conditions.



The vertical profiles of alongshore wind, turbulent vertical mixing coefficient, and momentum terms show very weak changes between the surface and 1600 m in summer (Fig. 4.17). On the other hand, while the mixing coefficient almost does not change in winter (Fig. 4.18), the wind intensification in winter occurs between the surface and  $\sim 700$  m with a maximum change at  $\sim 300$  m which produces a slight increase of the wind shear below  $\sim 300$  m.

The contribution of the wind shear increase to the turbulent stress ( $\tau = K_z \cdot dV/dz$ ) and the unchanged vertical mixing coefficient ( $K_z$ ) act such that the momentum vertical mixing is reduced in winter in warm conditions (Fig. 4.18a; blue line in Fig. 4.18b). This means that a less efficient downward vertical flux of momentum from the upper layers to the surface layer occurs in winter under warm conditions. It is balanced by the increase of pressure gradient and advection terms (green and red lines respectively in Fig. 4.18b).




Fig. 4.17 Mean summer vertical profile of a) alongshore wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) and turbulent vertical mixing coefficient ( $K_z$  in  $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and b) alongshore forces (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ). Average were computed between  $7\text{--}15^\circ\text{S}$ , and within 100 km from the coast. Full and dashed lines correspond to future and historical conditions, respectively.

#### 4.3.5 Role of the large scale atmospheric forcing and local forcing

In this subsection, we analyze the possible mechanisms which could govern the coastal wind changes.

##### a) The South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA)

Changes in alongshore winds may be driven by changes in the large scale atmospheric circulation, particularly the strength and location of the South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA). Figure 4.19 displays the changes in surface atmospheric pressure during the summer and winter seasons.




Fig. 4.18 Same as Fig. 4.17 but for winter.

In summer, the SPA is located at its southernmost position and is less intense (white lines in Fig. 4.19a). It moves towards southwest (away from the Peru coast) and the pressure at its center increases by  $\sim 2$  hPa under future conditions with respect to present conditions (black lines in Fig. 4.19a). It results that the surface atmospheric pressure increases at subtropical latitudes off Chile and decreases at tropical latitudes off the Peru coast (shading colors in Fig. 4.19a). This likely induces the decrease in alongshore pressure gradient (Fig. 4.11c) that induces a general weakening of alongshore winds off Peru coast in summer (Fig. 4.11a).

In winter, the SPA is located at its northernmost position and is more intense than summer (white lines in Fig. 4.19b). It almost does not change its position but the pressure at its center increases in  $\sim 2$  hPa under future conditions (black lines in Fig. 4.19b). This produces an intensification of surface atmospheric pressure off Peru and Chile, strong at subtropical latitudes and weak at tropical latitudes (shading colors in Fig. 4.19b). This likely increases the pressure gradient off the Peru coast and, consequently, may produce the moderate wind increase.

Monthly variations of the SPA (intensity, latitude and longitude of the maximum pressure within the SPA) are shown in Figure 4.20. Under present conditions, the seasonal variation of the intensity shows a maximum in September-October ( $\sim 1026$  hPa) and minimum in May ( $\sim 1022$  hPa, blue line in Fig. 4.20a). Moreover, the center of the SPA is located at its southernmost position in February ( $\sim 34.5^\circ\text{S}$ ) and at its northernmost position in June ( $\sim 28.5^\circ\text{S}$ , blue line in Fig. 4.20b). It is located at its westernmost position in January ( $\sim 110^\circ\text{W}$ ) and at its easternmost position in September-November ( $\sim 94^\circ\text{W}$ , blue line in Fig. 4.20c). Under future conditions, the intensity of the center of the SPA increases around the year by  $\sim 2$  hPa, with a maximum value in September and minimum value in May (red line in 4.20a). Regarding its position, except in July the SPA center moves towards the south (red line in 4.20b) and except in January, February, July and August, the SPA center moves towards the west (red line in 4.20c). Note that the center




Fig. 4.19 Mean sea level pressure in present and future conditions (white and black contours respectively) and sea level pressure change (shading) for a) summer (January-March) and b) winter (July-September). Blue lines indicate zero sea level pressure changes. Outputs from WRF105 simulations.

of the SPA was determined by the maximum monthly value of sea level pressure data in the 120–80 °W, 20–45 °S area. These displacements and intensifications of the SPA likely have an impact on the alongshore pressure gradient and may influence the coastal winds.




Fig. 4.20 Climatology of the a) intensity, b) latitudinal position, and c) longitudinal position of the South Pacific Anticyclone (SPA) for present and future conditions (blue and red lines respectively).

**b) The land-sea thermal contrast**

Bakun (1990) proposed that the nearshore winds in upwelling regions may increase in the future due to the increase of land-sea temperature difference. He suggested that under global warming, due to the increase of the greenhouse gases, land temperature will rise faster than ocean temperature and the pressure will decrease stronger on land, driving an enhancement of the cross-shore pressure gradient and thereby increasing the upwelling

favorable winds.

As Bakun says the land surface temperature increase more than sea surface temperature in our simulations (Fig. 4.21a), and the land sea temperature contrast increase in both summer and winter seasons (Fig. 4.21b and c). However, the sea level pressure increases on land (by 4–6 hPa; Fig. 4.22) rather than diminish, in disagreement with Bakun’s hypothesis. Moreover, in spite of a decrease of winds in summer, the land-sea gradient increases, also invalidating Bakun’s hypothesis in the summertime.

Note that [García-Reyes et al. \(2013\)](#) using reanalysis database showed that the variability in upwelling-favorable winds is dominated by oceanic atmospheric pressure systems, and only weakly influenced by terrestrial atmospheric pressure systems.




Fig. 4.21 a) Change in land and sea surface temperature. b) Land sea temperature contrast in summer (January-March) for present and future conditions. c) Same as b) but in winter (July-September).




Fig. 4.22 Change in the mean sea level pressure (in hPa, colors) and wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , vectors)

### c) Precipitation anomaly

[Belmadani et al. \(2014\)](#) showed that the change in surface velocity could be associated

to changes in convection in the North of Peru. Using a vorticity balance in the surface layer, they found that:

$$\beta V \approx f \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} + \text{curl}(\text{friction})$$

with  $\beta = \partial f / \partial y$  ( $f$  Coriolis parameter)  $> 0$

They showed that the changes of vorticity in the  $4CO_2$  climate scenario were dominated by changes in the planetary vorticity term ( $f \partial W / \partial z$ ), thus:

$$\Delta V \approx f \Delta \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right)$$

where  $\Delta(X) = X_{4CO_2} - X_{PI}$ .

As  $f < 0$  and  $\Delta(\partial W / \partial z) > 0$  because convection is enhanced by the warming, the  $\Delta V$  is negative, thus  $V$  will decrease.

Our simulations show an increase of the precipitation (associated with a convection enhanced) in summer, but it occurs mainly near the equatorial Pacific and far from the Peru coast (Fig. 4.23a). So, its contribution on the weakening of the coastal wind in summer should not be too strong, as in the case of Belmadani et al. (2014) simulations. Note that simulations with the IPSL global model used by Belmadani et al. (2014) typically show the overestimation of precipitation in regimes of atmospheric subsidence (Dufresne et al., 2013).




Fig. 4.23 Change in precipitation (in  $\text{mm d}^{-1}$ , colors) and wind (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ , vectors) for a) summer and b) winter from WRF 21 km.

#### 4.3.6 El Niño wind anomalies under future conditions

In order to evaluate the impact of climate change on El Niño wind anomalies, we compared wind anomalies during the November 1997-February 1998 from WRF7 control simulation against the wind anomalies during the November 2089-February 2090 from WRF7 climate change simulation (Fig. 4.24). Note that SST anomalies are the same in both cases by construction of our future SST forcing (see section 4.2.2). Positive wind anomalies are

stronger near the coast and decrease offshore under present and future conditions (Figs. 4.24a and b respectively). The major differences between both spatial patterns are located off the North coast where wind anomalies under future conditions are less intense than under present conditions (Figs. 4.24c).

To better understand these differences, we compared the alongshore wind anomalies from both simulations (Fig. 4.25). They show a temporal displacement and intensification of the wind anomaly peak in the Northern coast from February to April (from  $\sim 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  under present conditions to  $\sim 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  under future conditions) as a effect of climate change (Figs. 4.25a, b).




Fig. 4.24 Mean surface wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) from WRF7 over El Niño conditions: a) November 1997-February 1998 and b) November 2089-February 2090 (RCP8.5 scenario). c) Differences between b and a).




Fig. 4.25 Time-latitude diagrams of alongshore wind anomalies from WRF7.

In order to evaluate if the temporal displacement and increase of the 1997-1998 El Niño wind anomalies peaks observed in the high resolution WRF 7 km climate change simulation is a robust characteristic of El Niño events under future conditions, we performed two WRF simulations at 105 km of horizontal resolution for a relatively long time periods (1982-2016 for current conditions and 2074-2108 for the RCP8.5 scenario). Figure 4.26 shows time series of monthly wind anomalies averaged in a 160-km-wide coastal band and between 7–11 °C for present and future conditions (black and red lines respectively in Fig. 4.26) for five El Niño periods.

For the 1982-1983 El Niño period, the wind anomaly maximum peak in May 1982 occurs one month later (in June) under future conditions (there is also a peak intensification of  $\sim 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ). For the 1987-1988 El Niño period, the peak in February 1987 increases by  $\sim 0.25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and the maximum peak in April 1987 occurs one month later (in May) under future conditions. While the maximum peaks for the El Niño 1991-1992 and El Niño 1997-1998 (in February 1992 and January 1998 respectively) occur two months later (in April) under future conditions. For the 2015-2016 El Niño period, the maximum peak occurs in July 2015, while the maximum peak occurs in September under future conditions. Despite the peaks displacement, there is a good correlation between future and present wind anomalies, suggesting that the interannual variability of coastal winds off Peru is mainly driven by the SST interannual variability (as we showed in chapter 3), which is exactly similar in the future and current conditions by construction in our simulation framework (see subsection 4.2.2). The differences observed between future and current El Niño wind anomalies are probably associated to differences in the regional atmospheric conditions (position of the SPA, etc.). To investigate further this aspect, running ensemble simulations to suppress the impact of the atmospheric variability could be useful.

In addition, we compared El Niño wind anomalies from WRF7, WRF21 and WRF105 to evaluate the influence of the model resolution. We found that the spatial patterns of surface wind anomalies during El Niño conditions are quite similar, with strong wind anomalies alongshore and off the North coast (Fig. 4.27). It shows that model resolution used for our study about El Niño winds ( $1/4^\circ$ ) in the previous chapter 3 was adequate for that task and the results are independent of the model resolution.




Fig. 4.26 Time evolution of alongshore wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) for WRF 105 km in future (red line) and present (black line) conditions for five El Niño events. Anomalies were averaged in a 160-km-wide coastal band and between 7–11°S.






Fig. 4.27 Mean surface wind anomalies (in  $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) over February 2090-May 2090 (Niño conditions) from a) WRF7, b) WRF21 and c) WRF105.

#### 4.4 Discussion and conclusions

A high resolution regional atmospheric model is used to investigate the impact of climate change on upwelling favorable winds off the Peru coast. The WRF model is applied using three nested domains with 105, 21 and 7 km of horizontal resolution. We performed a control simulation in the period 1994-2003 forced by the NCEP2 reanalysis, and a climate change simulation where mean anomalies of the seasonal cycle from 31 CMIP5 global models are added to the NCEP2 lateral boundary conditions. The high resolution atmospheric simulation (WRF7), evaluated with satellite data, reproduced well the intensity, seasonal and interannual variability of alongshore winds, as well as the spatial variability of the mean wind drop-off near the coast. We found weak changes in the mean state of upwelling favorable winds off Peru for period 2086-2095 under the RCP8.5 scenario with respect to the control simulation. In overall, winds tend to decrease in spring-summer ( $\sim 0-5\%$ ) and to increase in fall-winter ( $\sim 5-10\%$ ), so that there is a slight intensification of the seasonal cycle. In particular, there is a local strong intensification of the nearshore winds in the Paracas-Pisco bay area between  $\sim 12-14^\circ\text{S}$  during winter.

A momentum balance shows that in the whole region the pressure gradient is the dominant force driving the surface wind acceleration. Under the climate change scenario, the pressure gradient decreases in summer and increases in winter, driving the weakening and/or intensification of the winds off the coast respectively. For the nearshore region between  $\sim 12-14^\circ\text{S}$  in the Paracas-Pisco bay area which is protected by an orographic obstacle, the advection term plays a crucial role on the wind dynamics. In this region, the total advection term increase under future conditions, mainly related to the enhancement of the meridional advection.

On the other hand, air temperature and humidity are uniformly increased in the planetary boundary layer (PBL), so that temperature inversion characteristics do not change. The turbulent vertical mixing coefficient increases in summer, but the change of the vertical mixing of momentum is negative, showing that Wallace's mechanism (enhancement of the vertical transport of momentum) may not be important at this scale in the Peru region.

The wind changes are mainly associated to changes in the intensity and position of the South Pacific Anticyclone. The decrease of the coastal winds off the Peru coast during

summer under future conditions seems to be associated to the SPA displacement towards the south despite of its intensification. In contrast the coastal winds intensification during winter seems to be linked with the SPA intensification. It suggest that changes in coastal winds off Peru are very sensitive to the changes in the position and intensity of the SPA under future conditions. On the other hand, model results show that the land-sea temperature contrast, which has been hypothesized to be a potential local factor to drive a coastal wind intensification in upwelling systems (Bakun, 1990), increases in spite of a decrease of coastal winds in summer, invalidating Bakun’s Hypothesis in the summertime for the Peru region. In addition, our simulations show a increase of the precipitation in summer, which could contribute to the weakening of the wind during this season as was suggested by Belmadani et al. (2014), but it occurs far from the Peru coast so that its contribution on the coastal winds decrease in summer should not be too strong, as in the case of Belmadani et al. (2014). Finally, we confirm that interannual variability of the coastal winds off Peru are mainly driven by the interannual variability of the SST alongshore gradient under present and future conditions.

Our results confirm the weakening of coastal winds off Peru in summer found in previous studies (Goubanova et al., 2011; Belmadani et al., 2014), but contrast with the annual mean (Table 4.1). Note that the wind decrease in summer is important for biogeochemistry because the summer season is the most productive season and the availability of nutrients controls the intensity of surface productivity (Echevin et al., 2008). Thus regional climate change may induce a less productive summer season. The discrepancies among these studies may come from specific differences in the projected change of the position and intensity of the SPA.

Reference	Model (and scenarios)	Resolution	Period		Wind response		
			Future	Present	Annual mean	summer	winter
Goubanova et al. (2011)	CMIP3 (2CO <sub>2</sub> and 4CO <sub>2</sub> )	50 km	2120-2149	1970-1999	↓ (5-10%)	↓ (5-10%)	→
Belmadani et al. (2014)	CMIP3 (2CO <sub>2</sub> and 4CO <sub>2</sub> )	50 km	2079-2099	1979-1999	↓ (10-20%)	↓ (20-30%)	↓ (5-10%)
This study	CMIP5 (RCP8.5)	7 km	2086-2095	1994-2003	→	↓ (0-5%)	↑ (5-10%)

Table 4.1 – Characteristics and results of previous climate change studies of coastal winds off Peru.

A potential limitation of our results is that anomalies of the SST seasonal cycle from the CMIP5 multi-model mean at lower resolution ( $\sim 1$  degree) were linearly extrapolated in the nearshore region to force our high resolution atmospheric climate change simulation. It could introduce unrealistic SST patterns that could impact the coastal wind (Oerder et al., 2015). Moreover, another potential limitation of our study is that our forced atmospheric simulations cannot take into account the retroaction of the atmosphere on the ocean, which could modify the nearshore surface temperature. So, ocean-atmosphere coupled simulations could be needed to study with better precision the impact of climate change in nearshore regions.

# Chapter 5

## Conclusions and perspectives

This thesis examined the coastal wind dynamics in the Peruvian upwelling system under two very different warming conditions: interannual El Niño event and long term warming in a climate change scenario. It allowed to improve the knowledge of the key mechanisms governing the coastal wind dynamics during El Niño events and under current and future climate scenarios. For this purpose, the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model was configured for the Peru region as a regional climate model in terms of domain size, physical parameterization and lateral boundary conditions (Chapter 2).

In this chapter of the thesis, a summary of the main conclusions is provided for both studies on El Niño and climate change, as well as some perspectives for future works.

### 5.1 Coastal winds during El Niño events

In the first part of this thesis (Chapter 3), we studied the physical processes driving the coastal wind intensification observed during El Niño 1997-1998 in the Peruvian upwelling system. We performed a WRF simulation at a resolution of  $1/4^\circ$  in the period 1994-2000. It allowed to reproduce the alongshore wind anomalies observed off the Peru coast during 1997-1998 El Niño event. Our analysis showed that:

- The alongshore pressure gradient anomalies drove the alongshore wind anomalies during El Niño period. They were mainly balanced by the vertical turbulent mixing anomalies (friction at the surface).
- The alongshore pressure gradient anomalies were generated by the stronger heating of the air column in the north than in the south, which was forced by the inhomogeneous warming of the SST along the Peru coast during El Niño event.
- A mechanism compensating the surface pressure gradients (so-called “back-pressure effect”) was strong in the case of a marked temperature inversion above the PBL during part of the event. In contrast, a weak temperature inversion allowed shallow convection to develop without triggering any “back-pressure effect” in the rest of the event.
- It is likely that two conditions are needed to drive a strong wind anomalies during El Niño in the Peru coastal region: a SST anomaly alongshore gradient and a weak

or absent temperature vertical inversion.

- Our results suggest that an increase of the land–sea thermal gradient may not play a strong dynamical role for the alongshore wind during El Niño, in contrast to the so-called “Bakun’s hypothesis”.

In addition, we performed two sensitivity experiments to study the respective roles of large scale atmospheric signal and of the SST local forcing in driving the wind anomalies during El Niño. They confirmed that the coastal wind intensification was primarily driven by the local SST forcing, whereas large scale variability associated with the South Pacific Anticyclone modulated its effects.

Examination of other El Niño events over the period 1979–2016 using reanalysis data confirmed that intensifications of alongshore wind off Peru were associated with SST alongshore gradient anomalies, as during the 1997–1998 event.

### 5.1.1 El Niño winds feedback on ocean dynamics

As [Kessler \(2006\)](#) suggested, El Niño events are an example of winds forcing the ocean in one place (the westerly wind bursts in the western Pacific Ocean), oceanic Kelvin waves carrying the signal in thermocline depth across thousands of kilometers (eastward to the eastern equatorial Pacific), thus changing the SST remotely (anomalous SST gradient northward), which then feeds back to modify the winds in the distant location (winds off the Peru coast). In addition, the nearshore wind increase off Peru during an El Niño event produces a strong Ekman pumping in the ocean (i.e. downward velocity; [Fig. 5.1](#)) which contributes to deepen the thermocline and enhances the ocean warming in addition to the one produced by the downwelling Kelvin wave ([Thomas et al., 2001](#)). It would be interesting to study the response of the ocean forced by the El Niño-related wind anomalies. We could examine the upwelling response by evaluating the role of the wind spatial modifications during El Niño (e.g. [Halpern \(2002\)](#)) and the role of the horizontal advection due to El Niño poleward currents near the coast. To do so, we could use a regional ocean model (ROMS) forced by the WRF wind fields produced in [chapter 3](#).

### 5.1.2 The “coastal El Niño” dynamics

Coastal El Niño events are defined as such when warm conditions are encountered near the coasts of Peru whereas cold-to-neutral conditions are found in the central equatorial Pacific. Such events are scarce but have been encountered in 1891, 1925 and recently in summer 2017 ([Takahashi and Martínez, 2017](#); [Garreaud, 2018](#); [Echevin et al., 2018](#)). The behaviour of the ocean atmosphere system during the local warming “coastal El Niño” is different from “canonical” El Niño events. Indeed, northerly wind anomalies were observed during these events ([Fig. 5.2](#), [Fig 5.3a](#)), in contrast to the well known upwelling-favorable southerly wind anomalies observed during the ‘canonical’ El Niño events. As in our previous study of the 1997–1998 El Niño, we could use the WRF model to study the wind dynamics during the local warming “coastal El Niño” and then use it to isolate the impact of the different effects (wind decrease, wind stress curl, etc.) on the ocean warming.




Fig. 5.1 Monthly mean anomalies of a) alongshore wind stress, b) Ekman pumping/suction, and c) sea surface temperature (Halpern, 2002).




Fig. 5.2 Monthly sea surface temperature (shading,  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and surface wind ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) anomalies from ICOADS (Takahashi and Martínez, 2017).




Fig. 5.3 ASCAT monthly mean wind stress anomalies (in  $\text{N m}^{-2}$ ) in 2017: a) January, b) February, c) March. Anomalies were computed with respect to a climatology over 2013-2016 (Echevin et al., 2018).

## 5.2 Climate change impact on coastal winds

In the second part of this thesis (Chapter 4), we studied the future changes in coastal winds in the Peruvian upwelling system by analysing a very high resolution (7 km) WRF simulations for historical and RCP8.5 climate scenario that realistically simulates the nearshore wind characteristics, such as the wind drop-off. For the historical simulation, the WRF model is used to directly downscale the NCEP2 reanalysis data within the period 1994-2003. For the assessment of possible future changes, we perturbed the lateral boundary conditions of NCEP2 with climate anomalies of the seasonal cycle from the CMIP5 Multi Model Mean (generated from 31 CMIP5 climate models). The results showed that:

- The mean state of the winds over the Peruvian upwelling system shows weak changes (<5%) in a period corresponding to 2086-2095 of the RCP8.5 scenario.
- The alongshore winds tend to decrease in spring-summer ( $\sim 0-5\%$ ) and to increase in fall-winter ( $\sim 5-10\%$ ), so that there is a slight intensification of the seasonal cycle.
- A momentum balance in current conditions showed that the pressure gradient and the vertical turbulent mixing are the dominant forces that drive the nearshore wind dynamics along the Peru coast, except between  $\sim 12-14^\circ\text{S}$  (in the Pisco bay) where the advection term plays a important role in the momentum budget.
- The change in the momentum budget showed that the pressure gradient decrease (increase) drive the weakening (intensification) of the coastal wind in summer (winter) under future conditions, except between  $\sim 12-14^\circ\text{S}$  where the relative strong intensification of local wind in winter is mainly driven by the cross-shore advection.
- Diagnostics about the change in sea level pressure showed that the changes in the pressure gradient off Peru coast are very sensitive to the change in both the intensity and position of the South Pacific Anticyclone.

- The land-sea thermal contrast increases under future conditions due to greater heat capacity of the ocean than the land. However, the wind decreases in summer, invalidating Bakun's hypothesis in the summertime.
- Model simulation showed an increase of precipitation off northern Peru in summer. This could also contribute to the weakening of the southerly wind in summer, as suggested by [Belmadani et al. \(2014\)](#).

### 5.2.1 Perspectives on additional regional downscaling

Given that the change in nearshore winds off Peru could be very sensitive to the change in position and intensity of the SPA, it should be taken into account when the wind projection of individual global models are examined. For example while coastal wind is projected to decrease in the IPSL-CM5 and CNRM-CM5 models ( $\sim 10\text{--}15\%$ ), no change is projected with the GFDL-ESM2M model (Fig. 5.4). It suggests that additional regional downscaling of different large grid-scale climate models could be needed to improve the consensus on the projections of the wind in the Peruvian upwelling system for the end of the 21st century. In addition, it would be interesting to study the regional impact of climate change on coastal winds off Peru in a near future (e.g. the period 2020-2030).




Fig. 5.4 Mean wind stress intensity in a coastal box off Peru from IPSL-CM5A, CNRM-CM5 and GFDL-ESM2M global models ([Gévaudan et al., 2018](#)).

### 5.2.2 Regional oceanic climate change scenarios

One motivation for this study was the possibility to produce high resolution atmospheric forcing to run regional oceanic models simulations. It will allow to produce regional oceanography climate change scenarios to estimate the impact of the global warming on the upwelling and regional circulation in the Peruvian upwelling system.

A few studies ([Echevin et al., 2012](#); [Oerder et al., 2015](#); [Gévaudan et al., 2018](#)) on dynamical downscaling of ocean climate scenarios from some global models (IPSL-CM4, IPSL-CM5, CNRM-CM5, GFDL-ESM2M) were performed using the ROMS regional ocean circulation model for the Peru upwelling system with different types of wind products. [Echevin et al. \(2012\)](#) used surface winds statistically downscaled from outputs of

the global model IPSL-CM4 performed by [Goubanova et al. \(2011\)](#) under quadrupling  $CO_2$  scenario. [Oerder et al. \(2015\)](#) used downscaled surface winds from the LMDz model with increased horizontal resolution in the Peru-Chile upwelling system performed by [Belmadani et al. \(2014\)](#) under  $2CO_2$  and  $4CO_4$  scenario. [Gévaudan et al. \(2018\)](#) used QuikSCAT satellite winds perturbed by CMIP5 models without downscaling. They found a surface ocean warming of  $3\text{--}4^\circ\text{C}$  (quite similar to the SST anomalies in the global model run, see Figure 5.5) and a reduction in upwelling intensity off Peru associated with the reduction of the alongshore winds, as well as an intensification of the poleward coastal undercurrent (PCUC), a shoaling of the equatorward surface coastal Peru-Chile current (PCC) and the strengthening of the mesoscale eddy activity when regional warming increases.

Similarly, it would be interesting to study the impact of the future winds downscaled using WRF on the ocean circulation. More precisely we suggest to do two ocean simulations: one forced by ocean boundary conditions from some reanalysis product (e.g. SODA; [Carton and Giese \(2008\)](#)) over the period 1994-2003 (same as our control atmospheric run) and by the WRF 7 km control run (1994-2003), and other forced by reanalysis ocean boundary conditions plus ocean boundaries anomalies from CMIP5 multi model mean and by the WRF 7 km RCP8.5 simulation. By following this protocol, we would be fully consistent with the regional atmospheric simulations. Furthermore, it would be interesting to see how these simulations would contrast with the ocean simulations of [Echevin et al. \(2012\)](#), [Oerder et al. \(2015\)](#) and [Gévaudan et al. \(2018\)](#).




Fig. 5.5 SST change between the periods 2091-2100 and 2006-2015 from a) CNRM-CM5 model and b) ROMS model ([Gévaudan et al., 2018](#)).

### 5.2.3 High resolution atmosphere and ocean climate modelling

Understanding of the ocean dynamics in shallow regions of the Peruvian shelf and coastal embayments is still limited. As scatterometer winds have a blind zone near the coast, atmospheric high resolution outputs from WRF simulations for the present conditions could also be used to force ocean models to study the oceanic circulation at much smaller scale. For example, this could be done in the highly productive Paracas-Pisco bay (Fig. 5.6), where the wind surface has a different variability (e.g. seasonal cycle) than out



of the bay where the typical upwelling seasonal cycle occurs, and also in other coastal embayments where orographic obstacles are going to play a role (e.g. in the Shechura bay). Understanding the dynamics of these regions is extremely important because of their social and economic implications through artisanal fisheries, aquaculture in coastal embayments, contamination due to industrial waste, etc.




Fig. 5.6 The distribution of sea surface wind in the Paracas Bay from in-situ observations for October 2013 (Morón et al., 2017).

#### 5.2.4 Regional coupled ocean/atmosphere model

The impact of the regional air-sea coupling over the Peru region is a relatively new research topic. Oerder et al. (2016) used model and observations to show that coupling between mesoscale sea surface temperature and wind stress intensity is strong in the Peru region (Fig. 5.7). Moreover, Oerder et al. (2018) used a high-resolution regional ocean-atmosphere coupled model and showed that the mesoscale activity is strongly reduced when the effect of the surface ocean current on the wind stress is taken into account.

On one hand, regional atmospheric models use a SST forcing which usually comes from the large scale ocean models (e.g. Belmadani et al. (2014) and this study) and thus do not resolve correctly the nearshore SST gradients. On the other hand, regional oceanic models use atmospheric forcing which usually come from the large scale atmospheric models and thus do not resolve correctly the nearshore wind drop-off. Under these forced framework models, the impact of the regional air-sea coupling is not considered. We suggest to develop and run a regional coupled ocean/atmosphere model to take into account the ocean/atmosphere local interactions and their impact on the dynamics of the lower atmosphere and the near-surface ocean under present and future conditions.




Fig. 5.7 Surface wind stress mesoscale anomalies ( $10^{-2} \text{ N m}^{-2}$ , colors) and sea surface temperature anomalies ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , contours). Fields are from a WRF-NEMO simulation and time-averaged over July 2007 (Oerder *et al.*, 2016).

# Bibliography

- Ancapichun, S., Garcés-Vargas, J., 2015. Variability of the Southeast Pacific Subtropical Anticyclone and its impact on sea surface temperature off north-central Chile. *Ciencias Marinas* 41 (1), 1–20.  
URL <http://cienciasmarinas.com.mx/index.php/cmarinas/article/view/23383>
- Bakun, A., 1990. Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. *Science* 247 (4939), 198–201.  
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17813287> 14, 16, 18, 111, 118
- Bakun, A., Weeks, S. J., 2008. The marine ecosystem off Peru: What are the secrets of its fishery productivity and what might its future hold? *Progress in Oceanography* 79 (2-4), 290–299.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2008.10.027> 8, 15
- Barber, R. T., Chavez, F. P., 1983. Biological consequences of El Niño. *Science* 222, 1203–1210. 6
- Battisti, D. S., Hirst, A. C., 1989. Interannual variability in a tropical atmosphere-ocean model: Influence of the basic state, ocean geometry and nonlinearity. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 46, 1687–1712. 6
- Bayer, A. M., Danysh, H. E., Garvich, M., González, G., Checkley, W., Alvarez, M., Gilman, R. H., 2014. The 1997–1998 El Niño as an unforgettable phenomenon in northern Peru: a qualitative study. *Disasters* 38, 351–374. 6
- Belmadani, A., Echevin, V., Codron, F., Takahashi, K., Junquas, C., 2014. What dynamics drive future wind scenarios for coastal upwelling off Peru and Chile? *Climate Dynamics* 43 (7-8), 1893–1914. 16, 17, 42, 95, 104, 112, 113, 118, 123, 124, 125
- Bentamy, A., Fillon, D. C., 2012. Gridded surface wind fields from Metop / ASCAT measurements. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 33 (6), 1729–1754. 99
- Bjerknes, J., 1969. Atmospheric teleconnections from the equatorial pacific. *Monthly Weather Review* 97 (3), 163–172. 5
- Boé, J., Hall, A., Colas, F., McWilliams, J. C., Qu, X., Kurian, J., Kapnick, S. B., 2011. What shapes mesoscale wind anomalies in coastal upwelling zones? *Climate Dynamics* 36 (11-12), 2037–2049. 4, 104

- Bretherton, C., Uttal, T., Fairall, C., Yuter, S., Weller, R., Baumgardner, D., Comstock, K., Wood, R., Raga, G., 2004. The epic 2001 stratocumulus study. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 85 85, 967–977. 1
- Bretherton, C. S., Wood, R., George, R. C., Leon, D., Allen, G., Zheng, X., 2010. South-east pacific stratocumulus clouds, precipitation and boundary layer structure sampled along 20s during VOCALS-REx. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 10 (21), 10639–10654. 4
- Capet, X. J., Marchesiello, P., McWilliams, J. C., 2004. Upwelling response to coastal wind profiles. *Geophysical Research Letters* 31 (13), 1–4. 4, 42, 89, 99
- Capotondi, A., Wittenberg, A. T., Newman, M., Lorenzo, E. D., Yu, J. Y., Braconnot, P., Yeh, S. W., 2015. Understanding ENSO diversity. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 96, 921–938. 5
- Carter, T., La Rovere, E., Jones, R., Leemans, L., Nakicenovic, N., Pittock, A., Semenov, S., Skea, J., 2001. Developing and applying scenarios. *climate change 2001: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. contribution of working group II to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Tech. Rep.* 145-190, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 9
- Carton, J. A., Giese, B. S., 2008. A reanalysis of ocean climate using simple ocean data assimilation (SODA). *Mon. Weather Rev.* 136, 2999–3017. 124
- Chavez, F. P., Bertrand, A., Guevara-Carrasco, R., Soler, P., Csirke, J., 2008. The northern Humboldt Current System: Brief history, present status and a view towards the future. *Progress in Oceanography* 79 (2-4), 95–105. 2
- Chavez, F. P., Ryan, J., Lluch-Cota, S. E., Niquen, M. C., 2003. From Anchovies to Sardines and back: Multidecadal Change in the Pacific Ocean. *Science*. 7
- Chen, F., Dudhia, J., 2001. Coupling an advanced land surface hydrology model with the penn state-NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part II: Preliminary model validation. *Mon Weather Rev* 129 (4), 587–604. 28
- Chou, M.-D., Suarez, M. J., 1994. Tech. Rep. 104606, NASA Tech. Memo. 27
- Colas, F., Capet, X., McWilliams, J. C., Shchepetkin, A., 2008. 1997-1998 El Niño off Peru: A numerical study. *Progress in Oceanography* 79 (2-4), 138–155.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pcean.2008.10.015> 8
- Covey, C., AchutaRao, K. M., Cubasch, U., Jones, P., Lambert, S. J., Mann, M. E., Phillips, T. J., Taylor, K. E., 2003. An overview of results from the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project. *Global and Planetary Change* 37 (1-2), 103–133. 10
- Cushing, D., 1990. Plankton production and year class strength in fish populations: an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. *Advances in Marine Biology* 26, 250–294. 1

- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., Vitart, F., 2011. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 137 (656), 553–597. [21](#)
- Dudhia, J., 1989. Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon experiment using a mesoscale two-dimensional model. *J Atmos Sci* 46. [27](#)
- Dufresne, J. L., Foujols, M. A., Denvil, S., Caubel, A., Marti, O., Aumont, O., Balkanski, Y., Bekki, S., Bellenger, H., Benschila, R., Bony, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., Brockmann, P., Cadule, P., Cheruy, F., Codron, F., Cozic, A., Cugnet, D., de Noblet, N., Duvel, J. P., Ethé, C., Fairhead, L., Fichefet, T., Flavoni, S., Friedlingstein, P., Grandpeix, J. Y., Guez, L., Guilyardi, E., Hauglustaine, D., Hourdin, F., Idelkadi, A., Ghattas, J., Joussaume, S., Kageyama, M., Krinner, G., Labetoulle, S., Lahellec, A., Lefebvre, M. P., Lefevre, F., Levy, C., Li, Z. X., Lloyd, J., Lott, F., Madec, G., Mancip, M., Marchand, M., Masson, S., Meurdesoif, Y., Mignot, J., Musat, I., Parouty, S., Polcher, J., Rio, C., Schulz, M., Swingedouw, D., Szopa, S., Talandier, C., Terray, P., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., 2013. Climate change projections using the IPSL-CM5 Earth System Model: From CMIP3 to CMIP5. Vol. 40. [113](#)
- Dutheil, C. M., Bador, M., Lengaigne, M., Lefevre, J., Jourdain, N., Vialard, J., Jullien, S., Peltier, A., Menkes, C., 2018. Impact of surface temperature biases on climate change projections of the South Pacific Convergence Zone, submitted to *Climate Dynamics*. [96](#)
- Echevin, V., Aumont, O., Ledesma, J., Flores, G., 2008. The seasonal cycle of surface chlorophyll in the peruvian upwelling system: A modelling study. *Progress In Oceanography* 79, 167–176. [118](#)
- Echevin, V., Colas, F., Espinoza-Morriberon, D., Anculle, T., Vasquez, L., Gutierrez, D., 2018. Forcings and evolution of the 2017 coastal El Niño off Northern Peru and Ecuador, submitted to *Frontiers in Marine Science*. [120](#), [122](#)
- Echevin, V., Goubanova, K., Belmadani, A., Dewitte, B., 2012. Sensitivity of the Humboldt Current system to global warming: A downscaling experiment of the IPSL-CM4 model. *Climate Dynamics* 38 (3-4), 761–774. [123](#), [124](#)
- Enfield, D. B., 1981. Thermally driven wind variability in the planetary Boundary layer above Lima, Peru. *J Geophys Res* 86 (80), 2005–2016. [8](#), [90](#)
- Espinoza-Morriberón, D., Echevin, V., Colas, F., Tam, J., Ledesma, J., Vásquez, L., Graco, M., 2017. Impacts of El Niño events on the Peruvian upwelling system productivity. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 122 (7), 5423–5444. [6](#)
- Falvey, M., Garreaud, R. D., 2009. Regional cooling in a warming world: Recent temperature trends in the southeast Pacific and along the west coast of subtropical South America (1979-2006). *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* 114 (4), 1–16. [15](#)

- Fréon, P., Barange, M., Arístegui, J., 2009. Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems: Integrative and comparative approaches. *Progress in Oceanography* 83 (1-4), 1–14. 1
- García-Reyes, M., Sydeman, W. J., Black, B. A., Rykaczewski, R. R., Schoeman, D. S., Thompson, S. A., Bograd, S. J., 2013. Relative influence of oceanic and terrestrial pressure systems in driving upwelling-favorable winds. *Geophysical Research Letters* 40 (19), 5311–5315. 112
- Garreaud, R., Falvey, M., 2008. The coastal winds off western subtropical south america in future climate scenarios. *International Journal of Climatology*. 15
- Garreaud, R. D., 2018. Short Communication A plausible atmospheric trigger for the 2017 coastal El Niño (January 2017). 120
- Goubanova, K., Echevin, V., Dewitte, B., Codron, F., Takahashi, K., Terray, P., Vrac, M., 2011. Statistical downscaling of sea-surface wind over the Peru-Chile upwelling region: Diagnosing the impact of climate change from the IPSL-CM4 model. *Climate Dynamics* 36 (7), 1365–1378. 15, 16, 17, 95, 118, 124
- Gutiérrez, D., Bouloubassi, I., Sifeddine, A., Purca, S., Goubanova, K., Graco, M., Field, D., Méjanelle, L., Velazco, F., Lorre, A., Salvattecí, R., Quispe, D., Vargas, G., Dewitte, B., Ortlieb, L., 2011. Coastal cooling and increased productivity in the main upwelling zone off Peru since the mid-twentieth century. *Geophysical Research Letters* 38 (7), 1–6. 15
- Gévaudan, M., Colas, F., Echevin, V., Espinoza-Morriberón, D., Tam, J., Gutierrez, D., 2018. Changes in the Peruvian upwelling system under future climate scenarios. *Symposium: The Effects of Climate Change on the World's Ocean*. 123, 124
- Halpern, D., 2002. Offshore Ekman transport and Ekman pumping off Peru during the 1997-1998 El Niño. *Geophysical Research Letters* 29 (5), 19–1–19–4.  
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2001GL014097> 120, 121
- Hashizume, H., Xie, S. P., Fujiwara, M., Shiotani, M., Watanabe, T., Tanimoto, Y., Liu, T. W., Takeuchi, K., 2002. Direct observations of atmospheric boundary layer response to SST variations associated with tropical instability waves over the eastern equatorial Pacific. *Journal of Climate* 15 (23), 3379–3393. 42
- Hong, S., Lim, J., 2006. The wrf single-moment 6-class microphysics scheme (wsm6). *J Korean Meteorol Soc* 42 (2), 129–151. 28
- Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., Collins, W. D., 2008. Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the aer radiative transfer models. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113. 28
- Janjic, Z., 1994. The step-mountain eta coordinate model: further developments of the convection, viscous sublayer, and turbulence closure schemes. *Mon Wea Rev* 122, 927–945. 28

- Kanamitsu, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Woollen, J., Yang, S.-K., Hnilo, J. J., Fiorino, M., Potter, G. L., 2002. NCEP–DOE AMIP-II Reanalysis (R-2). *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 83 (11), 1631–1644.  
URL <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/10.1175/BAMS-83-11-1631> 21
- Kessler, W. S., 2006. The circulation of the eastern tropical Pacific: A review. *Progress in Oceanography* 69 (2-4), 181–217. 8, 14, 41, 120
- Klein, S. A., Hartmann, D. L., 1993. The seasonal cycle of low stratiform clouds. 4
- Lu, J., Vecchi, G. A., Reichler, T., 2007. Expansion of the Hadley cell under global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34 (6), L06805.  
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1029/2006GL028443> 14
- McPhaden, M. J., 1999. Genesis and Evolution of the 1997-98 El Niño. *Science* 283 (5404), 950–954. 5
- Mechoso, C. R., Wood, R., Weller, R., Bretherton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Coe, H., Fairall, C., Farrar, T., Feingold, G., Garreaud, R., Grados, C., McWilliams, J., De Szoeke, S. P., Yuter, S. E., Zuidema, P., 2014. Ocean-cloud-atmosphere-land interactions in the southeastern pacific. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 95 (3), 357–375. 1, 3
- Miranda, P. M., Alves, J. M., Serra, N., 2013. Climate change and upwelling: Response of Iberian upwelling to atmospheric forcing in a regional climate scenario. *Climate Dynamics* 40 (11-12), 2813–2824. 18
- Mlawer, E., Taubman, S., Brown, P., Iacono, M., Clough, S., 1997. Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmosphere: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave. *J Geophys Res* 102 (D14), 16663–16682. 28
- Morón, O., Quispe, J., Lorenzo, A., Flores, G., Sánchez, S., Aronés, K., Solís, J. Quipuzcoa, L., 2017. Characterization of the physical, chemical, biological and sedimentological processes in the Ballestas and Chincha islands. 2013. *Inf Inst Mar Perú* 44 (4), 472–506. 125
- Muñoz, R. C., Garreaud, R., 2005. Dynamics of the Low-Level Jet off the West Coast of Subtropical South America. *Monthly Weather Review* 133 (12), 3661–3677.  
URL <http://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/abs/10.1175/MWR3074.1> 42
- Nakanishi, M., Niino, H., 2009. Development of an improved turbulence closure model for the atmospheric boundary layer. *J Meteorol Soc Jpn* 87, 895–912. 28
- Narayan, N., Paul, A., Mulitza, S., Schulz, M., 2010. Trends in coastal upwelling intensity during the late 20th century. *Ocean Science* 6 (3), 815–823. 15
- Oerder, V., 2016. Interactions couplées océan-atmosphère à méso-échelle dans le pacifique sud-est. Ph.D. thesis, Sciences de l'Environnement d'Ile de France. 36, 96
- Oerder, V., Colas, F., Echevin, V., Codron, F., Tam, J., Belmadani, A., 2015. Peru-Chile upwelling dynamics under climate change. *J Geophys Res.* 118, 123, 124

- Oerder, V., Colas, F., Echevin, V., Masson, S., Hourdin, C., Jullien, S., Madec, G., Lemarié, F., 2016. Mesoscale SST–wind stress coupling in the Peru–Chile current system: Which mechanisms drive its seasonal variability? *Climate Dynamics* 47 (7-8), 2309–2330. [39](#), [89](#), [125](#), [126](#)
- Oerder, V., Colas, F., Echevin, V., Masson, S., Lemarié, F., 2018. Impacts of the Mesoscale Ocean-Atmosphere Coupling on the Peru-Chile Ocean Dynamics: The Current-Induced Wind Stress Modulation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 123 (2), 812–833. [125](#)
- Pauly, V., Christensen, V., 1995. Primary production required to sustain global fisheries. *Nature* 374, 255–257. [1](#)
- Perlin, N., Skillingstad, E., Samelson, R., 2011. Coastal Atmospheric Circulation around an Idealized Cape during Wind-Driven Upwelling Studied from a Coupled Ocean–Atmosphere Model. *Monthly Weather Review* 139, 809–829. [106](#)
- Picaut, J., Hackert, E., Busalacchi, A., Murtugudde, R., Lagerloef, G., 2002. Mechanisms of the 1997-1998 El Niño-La Niña, as inferred from space-based observations. *J Geophys Res Oceans*. 107, 3037. [6](#)
- Rahn, D., Garreaud, R., 2013. A synoptic climatology of the near- surface wind along the west coast of south america. *Int. J. Climatol.* 34, 3628–3647. [3](#)
- Rasmussen, R., Liu, C., Ikeda, K., Gochis, D., Yates, D., Chen, F., Tewari, M., Barlage, M., Dudhia, J., Yu, W., Miller, K., Arsenault, K., Grubišić, V., Thompson, G., Gutmann, E., 2011. High-resolution coupled climate runoff simulations of seasonal snowfall over colorado: A process study of current and warmer climate. *Journal of Climate* 24 (12), 3015–3048. [97](#)
- Renault, L., Hall, A., McWilliams, J. C., 2015. Orographic shaping of us west coast wind profiles during the upwelling season. *Climate Dynamics* 46 (1-2), 273–289.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2583-4> [4](#), [104](#)
- Reynolds, R. W., Smith, T. M., Liu, C., Chelton, D. B., Casey, K. S., Schlax, M. G., 2007. Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface temperature. *J. Climate*, 5473– 5496. [23](#), [97](#)
- Richter, I., 2015. Climate model biases in the eastern tropical oceans: Causes, impacts and ways forward. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* 6 (3), 345–358. [97](#)
- Richter, I., Mechoso, C. R., 2006. Orographic influences on subtropical stratocumulus. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 63, 2585–2601. [2](#)
- Rykaczewski, R. R., Dunne, J. P., Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Black, B. A., Bograd, S. J., 2015. Poleward displacement of coastal upwelling-favorable winds in the ocean’s eastern boundary currents through the 21st century. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42 (15), 6424–6431. [14](#)
- Ryther, J., 1969. Photosynthesis and fish production in the sea. *Science* 166, 72–76. [1](#)



- Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Pan, H., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Kistler, R., Woollen, J., Behringer, D., Liu, H., Stokes, D., Grumbine, R., Gayno, G., Wang, J., Hou, Y., Chuang, H., Juang, H., Sela, J., Iredell, M., Treadon, R., Kleist, D., Van Delst, P., Keyser, D., Derber, J., Ek, M., Meng, J., Wei, H., Yang, R., Lord, S., Van Den Dool, H., Kumar, A., Wang, W., Long, C., Chelliah, M., Xue, Y., Huang, B., Schemm, J., Ebisuzaki, W., Lin, R., Xie, P., Chen, M., Zhou, S., Higgins, W., Zou, C., Liu, Q., Chen, Y., Han, Y., Cucurull, L., Reynolds, R., Rutledge, G., Goldberg, M., 2010. The NCEP climate forecast system reanalysis. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 91 (8), 1015–1057. [21](#)
- Skamarock, W., Klemp, J., Dudhi, J., Gill, D., Barker, D., Duda, M., Huang, X.-Y., Wang, W., Powers, J., 2008. A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3. Technical Report (June), 113. [23](#)
- Snyder, M. A., Sloan, L. C., Diffenbaugh, N. S., Bell, J. L., 2003. Future climate change and upwelling in the California Current. *Geophysical Research Letters* 30 (15), 1–4. [18](#)
- Soares, P. M. M., Lima, D. C. A., Semedo, , Cardoso, R. M., Cabos, W., Sein, D., 2018. The North African coastal low level wind jet: a high resolution view. *Climate Dynamics*, 1–20. [106](#)
- Suarez, M. J., Schopf, P. S., 1988. A delayed action oscillator for ENSO. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* 45, 3283–3287. [5](#)
- Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Schoeman, D. S., Rykaczewski, R. R., Thompson, S. A., Black, B. A., Bograd, S. J., 2014. Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling ecosystems. *Science* 345 (6192), 77–80. [15](#)
- Takahashi, K., Battisti, D. S., 2007. Processes controlling the mean tropical pacific precipitation pattern. Part I: The Andes and the eastern Pacific ITCZ. *Journal of Climate* 20 (14), 3434–3451. [2](#)
- Takahashi, K., Martínez, A. G., 2017. The very strong El Niño in 1925 in the far-eastern Pacific. *Climate Dynamics* 0 (0), 0. [120](#), [121](#)
- Tokinaga, H., Xie, S. P., 2011. Wave- and Anemometer-based sea surface wind (WASWind) for climate change analysis. *Journal of Climate* 24 (1), 267–285. [15](#)
- van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J. F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J., Rose, S. K., 2011. The representative concentration pathways: An overview. *Climatic Change* 109 (1), 5–31. [10](#), [12](#)
- Vargas, G., Pantoja, S., Rutllant, J. A., Lange, C. B., Ortlieb, L., 2007. Enhancement of coastal upwelling and interdecadal ENSO-like variability in the Peru-Chile Current since late 19th century. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34 (13), 1–6. [15](#)
- Vecchi, G. A., Soden, B. J., 2007. Global warming and the weakening of the tropical circulation. *Journal of Climate* 20 (17), 4316–4340. [14](#)

- Wallace, J. M., Mitchell, T. P., Deser, C., 1989. The Influence of Sea-Surface Temperature on Surface Wind in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific: Seasonal and Interannual Variability. 8
- Wang, C., Zhang, L., Lee, S. K., Wu, L., Mechoso, C. R., 2014. A global perspective on CMIP5 climate model biases. *Nature Climate Change* 4 (3), 201–205. 95
- Wang, D., Gouhier, T. C., Menge, B. A., Ganguly, A. R., 2015. Intensification and spatial homogenization of coastal upwelling under climate change. *Nature* 518 (7539), 390–394. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14235> 14
- Wood, R., Mechoso, C. R., Bretherton, C. S., Weller, R. A., Huebert, B., Straneo, F., Albrecht, B. A., Coe, H., Allen, G., Vaughan, G., Daum, P., Fairall, C., Chand, D., Gallardo Klenner, L., Garreaud, R., Grados, C., Covert, D. S., Bates, T. S., Krejci, R., Russell, L. M., De Szoeki, S., Brewer, A., Yuter, S. E., Springston, S. R., Chaigneau, A., Toniazzo, T., Minnis, P., Palikonda, R., Abel, S. J., Brown, W. O., Williams, S., Fochesatto, J., Brioude, J., Bower, K. N., 2011. The VAMOS ocean-cloud-atmosphere-land study regional experiment (VOCALS-REx): Goals, platforms, and field operations. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 11 (2), 627–654. 23
- Wyant, M. C., Wood, R., Bretherton, C. S., Mechoso, C. R., Bacmeister, J., Balmaseda, M. A., Barrett, B., Codron, F., Earnshaw, P., Fast, J., Hannay, C., Kaiser, J. W., Kitagawa, H., Klein, S. A., Khler, M., Manganello, J., Pan, H. L., Sun, F., Wang, S., Wang, Y., 2010. The PreVOCA experiment: Modeling the lower troposphere in the Southeast Pacific. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* 10 (10), 4757–4774. 90
- Wyrski, K., 1975. El Niño-The Dynamic Response of the Equatorial Pacific Ocean to Atmospheric Forcing. 5, 8
- Zhang, D. L., Anthes, R. A., 1982. A high-resolution model of the planetary boundary layer sensitivity tests and comparisons with SESAME - 79 data. *J. Appl. Meteor.* 21, 1594–1609. 28
- Zhang, Y., Rossow, W., Lacis, A., Oinas, V., Mishchenko, M., 2004. Calculation of radiative fluxes from the surface to top of atmosphere based on ISCCP and other global data sets: Refinements of the radiative transfer model and the input data. *J. Geophys. Res.* 23